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A point very often missed in human rights praxis is that economic, social, and cultural rights (ESCR) "are the only means of self-defense for millions of impoverished and marginalized individuals and groups all over the world."¹ Despite the international rhetoric on the equal relevance, interdependence, and indivisibility of all human rights,² in practice states have paid less attention to the enforcement and implementation of ESCR, and their attendant impact on the quality of life and human dignity of the citizenry, than other rights.³ African states, still living with the nightmares of slavery and colonial exploitation, are perhaps unsurpassed in this dreamy, rhetorical exercise.

African states ought to take the lead in the enforcement of ESCR, given Africa’s deplorable socio-economic conditions. They ought not to emulate the industrialized states of the North which can afford the luxury of hollow rhetoric in the implementation of ESCR. Regrettably, African states have so far failed to match their words with appropriate, sufficient action.⁴ Where African leaders have asserted the importance of satisfying ESCR as part of protecting other rights, some have done so with the intention of using this rhetoric as a ploy to suppress civil and political rights.⁵

⁵ In the past, repressive regimes in Africa (like Eyadema of Togo and Mobutu of Zaire) have claimed that they could not allow basic civil and political rights in their various states so long as there were prevailing economic hardships and the population remained underfed and economically underdeveloped. While it is true that economic development might lead to
Africa’s worsening socio-economic conditions, and resulting exacerbation of civil and political strife coupled with the current lack of interest in the enforcement of ESCR, renders the effective realization of human rights on the continent a remote possibility. Even if largely unintended, the neglect of ESCR, a substantial part of an indivisible whole, has brought about this sad state of affairs. This Article contends that there is an urgent need for a change of attitude and a relocation of emphasis from neglect and discriminatory enforcement of human rights to respect and balanced, holistic enforcement. Given the prevailing socio-economic circumstances in Africa, ESCR remain the cardinal means of self-defense available to the majority of Africans.

Part I of this Article emphasizes the imperative of a holistic and non-discriminatory enforcement of all human rights in Africa and links the failure of African governments to safeguard the socio-economic rights of their citizens to the widespread incidence of civil and political strife. Part I contends that, in contemporary Africa, a government’s legitimacy is largely a function of its ability to guarantee and protect the ESCR of its people.

In contrast to many scholars and commentators who have pointed to the under-development and acute economic crises of African states as the reasons behind the non-enforcement of ESCR, Part II contends that under-development and economic crises are hardly the whole story. It argues that recognition and enforcement of these rights catalyze development and are inextricable from it. Any quest for meaningful development ought to be predicated on the effective protection, enforcement, and realization of ESCR. While mindful of the poor economic conditions of many African states, Part II argues that these conditions do not justify outright non-enforcement of ESCR.

Part III discusses some factors militating against the realization of ESCR in Africa. Part IV highlights the consequences of the continued marginalization of these rights. In strategizing the way forward, Part V articulates alternative enforcement approaches that will ensure a non-discriminatory and more effective enforcement of ESCR. In Part VI, I shall offer a few concluding remarks.

The aim of this Article is not to analyze the various rights traditionally classified as ESCR. Rather, it seeks to question the marginalized enforcement of ESCR as codified in the African Charter (work, health, the improvement of the civil and political rights as a result of improvement in the quality of life, it cannot be shown that the curtailment of the civil and political rights of the people can, in any way, contribute to the improvement of their socio-economic rights and development. Its only contribution is the preservation of the repressive regimes in question. On this and related issues, see Peter R. Baehr, Concern for Development Aid and Fundamental Human Rights: The Dilemma as Faced by the Netherlands, 4 HUM. RTS. Q. 39, 43-44 (1982); Rhoda Howard, The Full-Belly Thesis: Should Economic Rights Take Priority Over Civil and Political Rights? Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa, 5 HUM. RTS. Q. 467, 468-78 (1983); Rhoda Howard, The Dilemma of Human Rights in Sub-Saharan Africa, 35 INT’L J. 724, 725 (1980).


education, and cultural rights), including the “new rights,” such as access to the public services of one’s country, public property, and other services. The Charter does not expressly provide for housing or social security rights. But, except as otherwise indicated, this Article does not exclude these or other socio-economic rights from its purview. This Article focuses on the collective marginalization of ESCR, broadly construed. It focuses on particular ESCR merely to illustrate points.

I. THE IMPERATIVE OF A HOLISTIC APPROACH TO ENFORCEMENT

“Perils to the part imperil the whole.”

In the 1993 Vienna Declaration, the consensus opinion recognized the futility inherent in entrenching civil and political rights without the corresponding ESCR. This consensus emerged despite the bipolar (East-West) ideological differences, which then dominated international relations, and led to the implementation of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) by means of two international covenants, and continue to have grave implications for ESCR. Long before the Vienna Declaration, the UDHR set the parameters for evaluating the legitimacy of governmental actions by codifying “the hopes of the oppressed, [and]...supplying authoritative language to the semantics of their claims.” The euphoric “Never Again” declaration by the victorious powers after World War II was intended to encapsulate humanity’s resolve to banish human misery in all its ramifications, whether arising from physical abuse or from want.

If the purpose of government is to provide for the welfare and security of all citizens, governments fail to fulfill this purpose when they commit to enforcing only civil and political rights. Such an ostrich-like posture denies the various forms of state abuse against which the citizen must be

protected: above all, the state's neglect of its citizens. Even opponents of enforceable ESCR recognize this axiom. The de facto commitments of many Western states to a welfare ethos, despite their official opposition to ESCR, assures a high degree of compliance in protecting the rights of their citizens.

Modern governments are active participants, not passive spectators, in events that fundamentally impact the ability of the people to lead a meaningful and dignified life. Governance ceases to be meaningful when the majority of the people is put in a situation where it cannot appreciate the value of life, let alone enjoy its benefits, and where it lacks the appropriate mechanisms to compel change. Where human survival needs frequently go unmet, as in Africa, protection of human rights ought to focus on "preventing governments from neglecting their citizens."

A point that is often overlooked in contemporary human rights discourse and practice is that the greatest benefit of guaranteeing enforceable rights is the assurance it gives to people that effective mechanisms for adjudicating violations or threatened violations of their rights are available. As events in many parts of Africa have shown, the absence of such mechanisms gives the impression that resort to extra-legal means, such as armed rebellion, is the only way to improve one's condition or challenge governmental abuse and neglect. Most current African

14. An example of the right to protection against state neglect is the case Laxmi Kant v. Union of India, (1987) 1 S.C.C. 67, in which the Indian Supreme Court held that the right of children to life and livelihood included the right to be protected by the state against emotional and material neglect.
15. It should, however, be pointed out that the de facto commitment to a welfare ethos has become a victim of globalization even in rich societies, where the dismantling of the institution of the welfare state is seen as a necessary step for the efficacy of state management. Thus, social exclusion and human misery are being transformed into a new economic ideology. See Alves, supra note 13, at 485.
16. See Richard Falk, The Challenge of Genocide and Genocidal Politics in an Era of Globalisation, in HUMAN RIGHTS IN GLOBAL POLITICS 177, 190 (Tim Dunne & Nicholas Wheeler eds., 1999); see also Jack Donnelly, Human Rights, Democracy and Development, 21 HUM. RTS. Q. 608, 629-30 (1999) (noting the use of the welfare system by all existing liberal democracies to compensate some of "those who fare less well in the market" and that this system "remains a powerful force in all existing liberal democratic regimes and a central source of their legitimacy").
17. See Abubakar Momoh & Said Adejumobi, THE NIGERIAN MILITARY AND THE CRISIS OF DEMOCRATIC TRANSITION: A STUDY IN THE MONOPOLY OF POWER 211 (1999) (questioning the basis, rationale and justification for the existence of the state and its control over national wealth, and its overall responsibility where it fails to live up to its health, educational, employment and other social obligations to the people).
19. Notable examples are the interne ice fratricidal conflicts involving different communities in Nigeria, such as the Niger Delta, Ife-Modekeke, and other religious-political conflicts in the northern parts of the country. Other examples are the fratricidal conflicts in Congo, Sierra Leone, and Liberia, as well as the unending conflicts in Somalia. See Segun Odunga, Achieving Good Governance in Post-Conflict Situations: The Dialectic Between Conflict and Good Governance 41, 42-49 in AFRICAN CONFLICTS, infra note 23.
20. This assertion holds true for all rights. Generally people tend to develop means of expressing their grievances. Where they are denied an organized avenue, such as the courts or other tribunals, they resort to extra-legal means. Essentially the gist of human rights has
conflicts consist of people who are fighting not against themselves but against poverty and governmental inaction in the face of destitution. This conflict usually is due to many years of impoverishing neglect and to the absence of other viable ways of compelling meaningful change. Because governments are increasingly expected to meet the basic needs of their citizens, there is a growing tendency to demand results in militant terms, particularly in the absence of a proper forum to compel governmental action. As Callisto Madavo, World Bank Vice President for the African region, observes, “Africa’s wars are not driven... by ethnic differences. As elsewhere, they reflect poverty, lack of jobs and education, rich natural resources that tempt and sustain rebels, and [ineffective and insensitive] political systems...”

These are, for the most part, socio-economic and political conflicts among ethnically differentiated peoples. Although holistic protection of all rights will not prevent every conflict, it will defuse the majority of conflicts that are triggered or sustained by those who exploit abject socio-economic conditions. Scholars have demonstrated a causal link between these conflicts, which can be seen as a people’s violent resistance to their deplorable socio-economic conditions, and the absence of perceived modes of effecting a peaceful change. On the psychological level, it has been observed that:

[T]he gap between what a people expect as being just and fair and what they actually have can heighten a sense of unfair treatment and so develop a sense of deprivation.... Feelings of deprivation... provide fertile grounds for mobilizing opposition and the affected group with the real potential for collective violence and social instability. Economic, social and political

always revolved around maintaining a balance between the haves and the have-nots. Even the so-called civil and political rights, as a pseudonym for western liberalism, emerged in different forms. As Falk points out, they emerged “as a centrist compromise that offered enough to those currently disadvantaged to discourage recourse to revolution while providing essential stability for existing social and economic hierarchies.” Falk, supra note 16, at 180. It is arguable that the denial of socio-economic rights in Africa has assumed revolutionary proportions akin to the pre-revolution denial of civil and political rights in Europe, thereby justifying similar empowerment.
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institutions that are perceived to have failed to address the conditions producing deprivation become victims of vicious campaigns that can lead to violence. The fear of unemployment and the strain of reduced economic security in people’s private lives can create tremendous anxiety and agitation. Psychologically, reactions to unemployment, especially when it is rising, and its attendant strain of reduced economic security may create fear, frustration and aggression. Conceivably, the fear of social instability may increase the potential for violence.

This relationship between deprivation and conflict underscores the fundamental link between protection of human rights and stability. The intimate relation between stability and human rights, in turn, reinforces the necessity of guaranteeing the enforcement of all human rights without exception. Since the different rights are interconnected and operate in support of each other, it logically follows that the full realization of one set remains dependent on the realization of the other. In a state of instability resulting from the denial of basic ESCR, it becomes difficult, if not impossible, to realize civil and political rights, and vice versa.

Apart from the instability it causes, the non-realization of ESCR creates insurmountable obstacles to the enjoyment of civil and political rights. People can only be free from abuse and exploitation when they have what it takes to assert their rights and free themselves from exploitative rule. Because the majority of Africans are illiterate and poor, they lack the requisite knowledge and means to assert their rights, let alone enjoy them. As U. O. Umozurike observes:

A great impediment to the attainment of civil and political rights is constituted by illiteracy, ignorance and poverty. To the many rural

27. See Pierre De Vos, Pious Wishes or Directly Enforceable Human Rights?: Social and Economic Rights in South Africa's 1996 Constitution, 13 S. Afr. J. HUM. RTS. 67, 71 (1997). De Vos further elucidates that "[s]tarving people may find it difficult to exercise their freedom of speech while a restriction of freedom of speech may make it difficult for individuals to enforce their right of access to housing." Id.
dwellers in any African state, and indeed to the urban poor, the lack of awareness or means make it impossible for them to assert their rights. They are very much at the mercy of their rulers.29

Thus, even a society interested in protecting only civil and political rights should give equal priority to ESCR as a practical means to achieving the former.30 An absence of the latter commitment deepens a collective feeling of injustice. The majority, comprised of the more vulnerable members of society, cannot but feel that it has been denied an accepted forum for the recognition and redress of injustices.31 Moreover, the non-enforcement of ESCR ridicules the so-called autonomy of the individual, a concept that is the linchpin of civil and political rights. Adequate socio-economic conditions must exist as a precondition to personal autonomy.32 As Joseph Raz illustrates:

A person whose every major decision was coerced, extracted from him by threats to his life or that of children, has not led an autonomous life. Similar considerations apply to a person who has spent the whole of his life fighting starvation and disease, and has no opportunity to accomplish anything other than to stay alive . . .33

According to Raz, autonomy “affects wide-ranging aspects of social practices and institutions . . . . Almost all major social decisions and many of the considerations both for and against each one of them (whether civil and political rights or ESCR) bear on the possibility of personal autonomy, either instrumentally or inherently.”34

African states have not failed to recognize the dangers of a selective—as opposed to holistic—recognition of human dignity. The African Charter remains a testament to the collective recognition of the indivisibility of human rights and dignity. As parties to the Charter, African states

30. David Selby argues that “human rights do not stop at counting political prisoners any more than they stop at counting the unemployed. Human rights are about human needs—needs that extend from proper nutrition, clothing, shelter, health care and education to participating in decisions that frame our lives.” R. R. Akankwasa, Human Rights Education and the Quest for Development: The Case of Ugandan Schools, 5 E. AFR. J. PEACE & HUM. RTS. 105, 108 (1999) (quoting DAVID SELBY, HUMAN RIGHTS 77 (1987)).
31. The discovery of injustice as such depends upon, and is aggravated by, the feeling that one has rights that are not being respected. See Pierre Bourdieu, The Force of Law: Toward a Sociology of the Juridical Field, 38 HASTINGS L.J. 805, 833 (1988). The feeling of injustice is heightened when those who are making excuses of lack of resources for non-recognition of the people’s rights are unabashedly flaunting the wealth amassed from their concerted fleecing of the people.
34. Id. at 193.
apparently appreciate the necessity of a holistic approach to enforcement. While this must be pursued at the international and regional levels—as the African Charter seeks to do—the locus of active enforcement must be the domestic arena where the mechanisms of enforcement will be within easy reach of aggrieved citizens and thus more widely utilized. Moreover, international protection or mechanisms are designed to complement the domestic protection of human rights. As Theo van Boven persuasively argues, international procedures “can never be considered as substitutes for national mechanisms and national measures with the aim to give effect to human rights standards. Human rights have to be implemented first and foremost at national levels.”

Anything short of a holistic enforcement of human rights at the domestic level belies the African Charter’s recognition that “the satisfaction of economic, social and cultural rights is a guarantee for the enjoyment of civil and political rights.”

Bifurcated enforcement is not in keeping with the virtues of Africa’s historical tradition and the values of African civilization, which are among the founding philosophies of the Charter. African states subscribed to a Charter that acknowledges the importance traditionally attached to these rights, and, therefore, ought to do more than pay them lip service.

Rather than the existing approach to enforcement, which marginalizes ESCR, action should be taken across the board to ensure a minimum level of enjoyment of all human rights. As argued in the next section, the excuse of impossibility of performance due to underdevelopment, often put forward by African leaders and some scholars, does not represent the whole truth. It is too often a rationalization for a lack of political will and the continued elevation of luxury over necessity.

II. RIGHTS AND THE ARGUMENT OF DEVELOPMENT

The point is often made that development of Africa, and indeed of all
Third World states, is a necessary precondition for the enforcement and enjoyment of ESCR. It has been contended that African states cannot reasonably be expected to fulfill their ESCR obligations under the African Charter given their socio-economic problems, which arise from underdevelopment and "existing patterns of international trade." 43 Scholars have also asserted that the poverty of African states justifies treating ESCR as principles of state policy (as they are in the constitutions of Nigeria, Cameroon, Lesotho, Liberia, Malawi, Namibia, Sierra Leone, and

---

**Structural Adjustment and Development: Human Rights — An Agenda for Change,** 15 HUM. RTS. Q. 751, 752-53 (1993); see also Theo Van Boven, Human Rights and Development: The U.N. Experience, in HUMAN RIGHTS AND DEVELOPMENT: INTERNATIONAL VIEWS 121, 125 (David P. Forsythe ed., 1989). Consequently, a country's gross national product (GNP) per capita is usually, if erroneously, used to determine its level of development, and it is generally believed that economic development guarantees automatic improvement in other sectors and segments of society. Yet, as Milner, Poe & Leblang convincingly argued, the use of GDP or GNP variables to measure economic development does not "take into account economic inequality among citizens" and "can sometimes mask the true underlying extent of development" since "a country could have a high overall per capita GDP but also have a majority of the population living in poverty." Milner et al., supra note 28, at 411; see also Danilo Turk, Development and Human Rights, in HUMAN RIGHTS: AN AGENDA FOR THE NEXT CENTURY 167, 167-73 (Louis Henkin & John L. Hargrove eds., 1994) (expressing a view similar to Milner's). A more appropriate and acceptable definition of development is one that transcends purely economic factors, as in the U.N. Declaration of the Right to Development, which defines development in the second paragraph of its preamble as "a comprehensive economic, social, cultural and political process, which aims at the constant improvement of the well-being of the entire population and of all individuals on the basis of their active, free and meaningful participation in development and in the fair distribution of benefits resulting therefrom."


42. See Baehr, supra note 5, at 43. Sometimes this point assumes an ambivalent dimension with repressive regimes arguing that they cannot allow basic civil and political rights in their states as long as the population is underfed and economically underdeveloped. The implication is that the satisfaction of civil and political rights is also dependent on economic development.

43. See D'Sa, supra note 7, at 114 (internal citations omitted).


45. UMOZURIKE, supra note 29, at 110. Indeed there are a number of studies demonstrating that economic development has a strong, positive impact on the fulfillment of basic human needs. Han Park has revealed that economic development is the strongest predictor of improved basic needs achievement. Han S. Park, Correlates of Human Rights: Global Tendencies, 9 HUM. RTS. Q. 405, 410-13 (1987); see also Bruce E. Moon & William J. Dixon, Politics, the State, and Basic Human Needs: A Cross National Study, 29 AMER. J. POL. SCI. 661, 689-90 (1985). While economic development may be the strongest predictor of the ability to fulfill basic needs, it does not follow that basic needs are actually met, as events in the industrialized countries have shown. See Noam Chomsky, supra note 3, at 33-40.
African states are, no doubt, among the most impoverished states of the world. This fact makes the argument that they are too poor to realize ESCR very compelling. However, these oft-invoked arguments usually proceed from two interrelated yet erroneous and misleading suppositions. First, they presuppose that ESCR are resource-intensive and require the direct intervention of governments, whereas civil and political rights do not involve government expenditure but merely entail the government’s forbearance from interfering with the rights of the people. Second, they presuppose that African states’ underdevelopment is enough to justify non-enforcement of ESCR but not civil and political rights.

The African Charter does not impose separate or more onerous obligations on States Parties with respect to ESCR. The Charter’s provisions on these rights are modest. The right to an education, for instance, does not impose a more resource-intensive obligation than the right to a fair trial. Should a state then be justified in not providing necessary medical or educational facilities, but not in failing to provide the


47. See Marc Bossuyt, La distinction entre les droits civils et politiques et les droits economiques, sociaux et culturels, 8 Hum. RTS. J. 783 (1975) (arguing that civil and political rights require non-interference on the part of the state (in the sense of being cost-free) while ESCR require active intervention by the state (in the sense of being cost-intensive)); E.W. Vierdag, The Nature of Rights Granted by the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 9 Neth. Y.B. Int’l L. 69, 103 (1978) (asserting that because ESCR are resource-intensive, their implementation should properly be a political matter and not a matter of law or rights); see also D. M. Davis, The Case Against the Inclusion of Socio-Economic Demands in a Bill of Rights Except as Directive Principles, 8 S. Afr. J. Hum. RTS. 475, 478 (1992) (arguing that a social or economic right imposes a duty upon the state to provide certain resources, unlike civil and political rights that require a mere ‘non-interference’ from the state). These sources suffer from inherent non-recognition of the true cost implications of protecting civil and political rights. In Re: Certification of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, 1996 (10) BCLR 1253 (CC) at 1289, ¶¶ E-F, the South African Constitutional Court, in response to the claim that the enforcement of socio-economic (as opposed to civil and political) rights must be dependent on the capacity of a state to afford the cost, stated:

It is true that the inclusion of socio-economic rights may result in courts making orders that have direct implications for budgetary matters. However, even when a court enforces civil and political rights such as equality, freedom of speech and the right to a fair trial, the order it makes will often have such implications. A court may require the provision of legal aid, or the extension of state benefits to a class of people who were formerly not beneficiaries of such benefits.


necessary machinery for law enforcement, fair trials, or dignified prison conditions?\textsuperscript{49}

If the reason for marginalizing the enforcement of ESCR is a lack of development, how does the state intend to develop if the overwhelming majority of its citizens remains illiterate? A report to U.N.E.S.C.O. underscores that "[n]ational development hinges on the ability of working populations to handle complex technologies and to demonstrate inventiveness and adaptability, qualities that depend to a great extent on the level of initial education."\textsuperscript{50} Accordingly, the realization of the right to education and other ESCR are, as Hercules Booysen observes, "a prerequisite for the creation of wealth"\textsuperscript{51} and, as such, a necessary precondition of development.\textsuperscript{52}

Even if under-development is such a potent factor, it merely affects the extent to which these rights can be realized and does not justify outright non-enforcement. Under-development does not justify partial enforcement any more than poverty justifies parents consistently feeding one child to the neglect of their other children. In any case, it is well-known that, but

---

\textsuperscript{49} One scholar argues forcefully that:

If judges decide that no one may be imprisoned without fair trial, and therefore that an order of habeas corpus must issue to secure the release of a person detained without trial, the effect is to burden the state with massive costs of a criminal justice system. The effect is to require the state to pay the salaries of judges, prosecutors and their administrative staff, to build court houses, and much more.... It is true, of course, that judicial protection of personal liberty does not expressly compel the state to commit resources. The state could instead refrain from prosecuting; but that would put it in breach of its duty to maintain peaceful order, and in a prospering society this option is in any event not a real possibility. Judicial protection of personal liberty consequently makes considerable expenditure inevitable.

Etienne Mureinik, \textit{Beyond A Charter of Luxuries: Economic Rights in the Constitution}, 8 S. Afr. J. Hum. RTS. 464, 466 (1992); see also J. Oloka-onyango, \textit{Human Rights and Sustainable Development in Contemporary Africa: A New Dawn, or Retreating Horizons?}, 6 Buff. Hum. RTS. L. Rev. 39, 57 (2000) (arguing that "certain obligations with respect to the realization of the rights such as education, health, and shelter cannot simply be evaded by the state, irrespective of the question of resources or financial ability").


\textsuperscript{52} This much is implied in art. 1(1) of the U.N. General Assembly \textit{Declaration on the Right to Development}, supra note 41. See Skogly, supra note 41, at 753-54.
for the poor administration and kleptomaniacal tendencies of their rulers, many African states might have attained a level where basic survival needs are met.\(^{53}\) As it is, most African rulers are richer than their states\(^{54}\) and continue to squander available resources. Thus, "it is not [necessarily] scarcity (of resources) which is the first problem, but maldistribution"\(^{55}\) or inequitable "allocation of resources... [and] provision of government controlled benefits."\(^{56}\) As Richard Falk argues, "[available] research strongly suggests that most Third World countries possess the resources to eliminate poverty and satisfy basic human needs if their policy makers were so inclined."\(^{57}\)

Although Richard Falk's point may be overstated (even rich Western countries have not eliminated poverty in spite of their huge resources), its import is instructive. The commonly asserted under-development of African states is not "something akin to an original state of nature."\(^{58}\) Conditions in many African states today arise not out of a lack of wherewithal to satisfy the socio-economic rights of the people to a minimum of human dignity. Rather, they are partly the direct consequence of an active process of impoverishment\(^{59}\) and de-development. In some cases, international loans and grants purportedly secured to provide essential facilities have ended up lining private pockets, securing safe nests for the advantaged class or being spent to protect that class from the ire of the dispossessed, all in the name of development and security.\(^{60}\) It is

---

53. Basic survival needs in this context "refer[] to the minimum requirements for sustaining physical life, that is, health, food, housing, clothing, work, literacy." Richard Falk, supra note 21, at 225; see also Frances Stewart, Basic Needs Strategies, Human Rights, and the Right to Development, 11 HUM. RTS. Q. 347, 351 (1989).

54. One scholar writes that the late Mobutu Sese Sekou wa Zabanga of Zaire (now Democratic Republic of Congo) "is believed to have amassed a fortune far in excess of his country's national debt, bankrupting what must be one of the richest nations on the continent." Onyango, supra note 6, at 3. Zaire (DRC) has a host of mineral riches, including extensive reserves of gold, diamond, copper, cobalt, and zinc.

55. Tucker, supra note 18, at 162, n.226.

56. Minasse Haile, Human Rights, Stability, and Development in Africa: Some Observations on Concept and Reality, 24 VA. J. INT'L L. 575, 578 (1984). There is a widespread tendency among many governments particularly in developing countries not to make the best available use of resources for improving the general living conditions of their people. See Yoko Yokota, Reflections on the Future of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, in THE FUTURE OF INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS 201, 215 (Burrs H. Weston & Stephen P. Marks eds., 1999) ("Instead, they often misallocate their scarce resources for unnecessarily large military expenditure and personal luxury, and waste them also by corrupt practices.").

57. See Falk, supra note 21, at 225; see also Graciela Chichilnisky, Development Patterns and the International Order, 31 J. INT'L AFF. 275 (1977); U.N.D.P., HUMAN DEVELOPMENT REPORT 1990, at 4 (1990) (stating that "[d]eveloping countries are not too poor to pay for human development and take care of economic growth," and listing some factors—including disoriented national priorities, debt repayments, very high military spending, inefficient parastatals, and unnecessary government controls—inhibiting the realization of human development in developing countries).


59. Id.

60. For these reasons, Skogly, supra note 41, at 753, relying on U.N.D.P., supra note 57, at 128-60, writes, "It is now widely recognized that assistance towards economic development
unconscionable for those who participate in the squandering of developmental opportunities to point to the conditions they create as grounds for marginalizing enforcement of ESCR.

If an enforceable system of ESCR had been in place, it might have provided an opportunity to challenge the government’s priorities and to hold it accountable for the expenditure of international loans. The Nigerian government recently awarded a $350 million contract (with another $6 million paid to foreign consultants) for an Olympic-size stadium in Abuja. During the same period, resident doctors and university teachers across the country were on a prolonged strike over irregular or non-payment of salaries and allowances. The government’s priorities seem highly questionable for a country with more than five world-class stadiums and an infrastructure (water supplies, electricity, health facilities and schools) in shambles. Under a regime of enforceable ESCR, the money for the stadium project might have been directed to health care, education or the acute housing shortage in the capital city, where it would have made a significant difference.

Under a robust regime of ESCR, accountability for such expenditures would likely improve policies and the quality of governance. As Etienne Mureinik argues:

a decision maker who is aware in advance of the risk of being required to justify a decision will always consider it more closely than if there were no risk. A decision maker alive to that risk is under pressure consciously to consider and meet all the objections, consciously to consider and thoughtfully to discard all the alternatives, to the decision contemplated. And if in court the government could not offer a plausible justification for the programme that it had chosen . . . then the programme would have to be struck down.

---


64. Mureinik, supra note 49, at 471-72. According to Mureinik, the court might intervene to quash legislation that created fourteen departments of health if it found multiple bureaucracies to be a senseless squandering of precious resources. The court might also intervene “if the annual Budget appropriated funds to build a replica of St. Peter’s, [as
In this sense, the lack of an enforceable regime of ESCR may itself impede development. A government that is not required to justify its socio-economic policies and priorities is not likely to develop a consistent policy that encourages wise investments and conserves resources necessary for sustainable development.

One cannot deny the reality of Africa’s grim economic situation, which remains a significant constraint on the financial abilities of African states. Although blame is often placed on this economic situation, the lack of political will and the corruption of the ruling elite have also played a large role in preventing an equal emphasis on enforcement of ESCR as of civil and political rights. Where African states have taken steps to enforce ESCR, they have not been negatively affected by it. The introduction of a regime of judicially enforceable ESCR in South Africa has not paralyzed the state developmentally. Yet, South Africa is not endowed with vastly greater resources than some African states with non-enforceable ESCR.

Enforcing ESCR can lead to social and political stability. Two recent South African decisions on ESCR may have averted a breakdown in law and order that could have led to land invasions (occupations) like those in Zimbabwe—a result that would have threatened investment and development.

Under-development has not affected “[s]ervices which are of concern to the richer and more powerful sections of the society—such as ... prestige development projects,” nor induced cuts in military spending. The fact that governments pour money into such projects makes it clear that underdevelopment is a smokescreen for a lack of political will to enforce ESCR.

Rather than blaming the existing lack of development for non-
enforcement of ESCR, responsible leaders should address the other, more dominant obstacles to the realization of ESCR in Africa. Some of these obstacles also prevent future development and underlie the under-development critique. The next section discusses some of these factors.

III. IMPEDIMENTS TO THE REALIZATION OF ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

The obstacles to the realization and enforcement of ESCR under the African Charter include a combination of internal, textual factors and external, contextual factors. The internal factors stem from the normative flaws of the Charter’s provisions on ESCR. The external factors include the host of political, economic and historical forces that prevent States Parties from fulfilling their ESCR obligations under the Charter. This article does not attempt to address comprehensively all the impediments to enforcement of ESCR in Africa. Many important factors—such as the effects of the Cold War, slavery and colonialism—are mentioned either briefly or not at all in favor of focusing on more recent, less developed factors.

A. Content and Scope of African Charter Provisions on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

The normative inadequacies of the African Charter, particularly the provisions on ESCR, are well-known.

One serious obstacle to the enforcement of the Charter’s provisions on ESCR is their lack of conceptual clarity. The Charter’s failure to define ESCR adequately is not unique among international instruments. Nonetheless, the vagueness of ESCR makes enforcement quite difficult.

70. For a brief insight into the atrocities of the Cold War, see Adebayo Adedeji, Comprehending African Conflicts, supra note 23, at 9-10.

71. The role of slavery and colonialism in the economic exploitation and cultural domination of Africa has been sufficiently set out elsewhere. See generally WALTER RODNEY, HOW EUROPE UNDERDEVELOPED AFRICA (1981); WALTER RODNEY, WEST AFRICA AND THE ATLANTIC SLAVE TRADE (1967); Adam Hochschild, KING LEOPOLD’S GHOST: A STORY OF GREED, TERROR, AND HEROISM IN COLONIAL AFRICA (1998); PHILIP CURTIN, DEATH BY MIGRATION: EUROPE’S ENCOUNTER WITH THE TROPICAL WORLD IN THE 19TH CENTURY (1989).


The Charter’s provision on the right to health\textsuperscript{74} is typical. It entitles individuals to enjoy “the best attainable state of physical and mental health” without prescribing the standard of health\textsuperscript{75} or defining what is meant by “the best attainable state.” Given this ambiguity, the Charter’s right to health depends on how a state construes it. A reasonable interpretation is that it imposes an unlimited obligation to provide free medical services, which leads to the frustrating conclusion that “[e]ven if governments employ the services of modern doctors as well as traditional healers, it seems quite impossible for them to carry out the obligation.”\textsuperscript{76} The provision’s ambiguity allows states to avoid this interpretation. For both the individual and the state, the provision provides little guidance as to the state’s obligation and the individual’s appropriate expectations. As with its correlate in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), the Charter’s right to health needs to be better defined.\textsuperscript{77}

B. Lack of Effective Enforcement and Promotion

The absence of effective promotion and enforcement at the agency level also impedes the realization of ESCR under the Charter. The vagueness problem discussed in the section above might have been alleviated through an African agency’s better enforcement or promotion of ESCR. However, this has not happened until recently. After a long period during which the African Commission did not bother with ESCR\textsuperscript{78}, it appears to be gradually changing its attitude.\textsuperscript{79} Yet, as Makau Mutua

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{74} “(1) Every individual shall have the right to enjoy the best attainable state of physical and mental health; (2) State Parties to the present Charter shall take necessary measures to protect the health of their people and ensure that they receive medical attention when they are sick.” Afr. Charter, \textit{supra} note 2, art. 16.
\item \textsuperscript{75} Umozurike, \textit{supra} note 44, at 48.
\item \textsuperscript{76} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{77} See International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, G.A. Res. 2200A(XXI), 21 U.N. GAOR, 21st Sess., Supp. No. 16, at 49, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (1966). For an attempt to clarify the scope and implications of the right to health under the ICESCR, see Toebe, \textit{supra} note 73. Gomez, \textit{supra} note 73, at 161, argues that “[giving clarity and content to these standards [or rights] is one of the major tasks awaiting the human rights movement.” Similar ambiguities surround other ESCR provisions of the Charter. The right to education under article 17(1) is ambiguous. It provides that, “Every individual shall have the right to education.” The right to work under article 15 entitles individuals to “work under equitable and satisfactory conditions” and to “receive equal pay for equal work.” The ambiguity allows States Parties to deny any obligation to provide jobs, to initiate measures to create jobs, or to recognize any rights of the unemployed.
\item \textsuperscript{78} In 1988, shortly after the Commission came into being, former Chairman Umozurike disclosed that the Commission had decided to concentrate on civil and political rights. He claimed that the Commission would be overwhelmed with cases if it attempted to make ESCR an immediate priority. See U. Oji Umozurike, \textit{The Protection of Human Rights Under the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights}, 1 AFR. J. INT’L L. 62, 81 (1988).
\item \textsuperscript{79} The Commission has dealt with ESCR in several recent communications. See Pagnoulle (on behalf of Mazou) v. Cameroon, Communication No. 39/90 (1997), reported in 6 HUM. RTS. REP. 819 (1999) (holding that the failure of Cameroon to reinstate Mazou, a magistrate, who had been unlawfully detained and removed from his former position,
notes, the Commission’s decisions and pronouncements have been merely “formulaic”: they “do not reference jurisprudence from national and international tribunals, nor do they fire the imagination.” Chidi Odinkalu asserts that the Commission has been successfully addressing the deficiencies of the Charter “through its practice, evolving procedures, and jurisprudence.” When it comes to ESCR provisions, it is difficult to accept this assertion without some skepticism. The Commission has yet to address the Charter’s ESCR-related normative deficiencies in any significant way. The Commission cannot be wholly dismissed “as a worthless institution.” It certainly is not. The Commission has commendably earned itself a place in the international human rights firmament. Yet, as far as ESCR is concerned, the Commission, although not entirely a sham, is still much less than a savior.

Both in promotion and enforcement, the Commission has concentrated its efforts mainly on civil and political rights to the detriment of ESCR. In fairness to the Commission, it can only entertain cases brought before it. The Commission does not have a mandate to be a knight errant in shining armor initiating cases on behalf of helpless victims. However, one of its primary mandates, as stipulated in Article 45(1)(a) of the Charter, is to “undertake studies and researches on African problems in the field of human and peoples’ rights, organize seminars, symposia and conferences, disseminate information, encourage national and local institutions concerned with human and peoples’ rights...” The dearth of cases on ESCR is due in part to the Commission’s inadequate efforts to encourage such cases and to educate and sensitize people as to their rights. Given its broad mandate, the Commission has a responsibility to ensure respect and observance of provisions of the Charter, without exception. If the
Commission excels in its broad promotional mandate, it will face a deluge of ESCR cases. It remains to be seen if the newly created African Court on Human Rights\textsuperscript{84} will be more successful in promoting and protecting ESCR. In the absence of effective promotion, individuals are less likely to assert their rights, no matter how clearly they are expressed.

C. Inept and Corrupt Leadership

It is a truism that the destiny of a people is tied to the quality of its leadership. Colonialism left Africa and Africans socio-economically battered. However, the ineptitude and corruption of certain past and present African leaders have worsened the socio-economic woes of the continent.\textsuperscript{85} As George Kent argues, "[s]ome [African states] have had corrupt governments that exploited their own people as viciously as any outsiders have ever done."\textsuperscript{86} Corruption is hardly unique to Africa, but some African states have elevated it to an art form worthy of its own national museum. Resources that should have been utilized to provide basic facilities have been filched and transferred into the private Western bank accounts of high-ranking African leaders and officials.\textsuperscript{87} Less directly, corrupt leaders steal by rejecting policies that would better spur development and promote ESCR in favor of policies that bring greater profits their way through businesses, investments, or unscrupulous cohorts. The interests of the people are pursued only to the extent that they coincide with the selfish interests of those in power. Corruption leads to

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
\item[86] Kent, supra note 58.
\item[87] For instance, a former Nigerian military head of state, the late General Sani Abacha, is believed to have stashed away billions of dollars in foreign accounts. See Pres. Olusegun Obasanjo, \textit{How We Fared in the Last One Year}, Broadcast Address on the One Year Anniversary of Civil Rule, May 29, 2000, \textit{VANGUARD} (Lagos); Umozurike, supra note 29, at 48 (characterizing Abacha’s corruption as “a high-ranking cause of underdevelopment, resulting in malnutrition, lack of healthcare and other deprivations.”); see also Afe Babalola, \textit{Legal and Judicial System and Corruption}, in \textit{AFRICA LEADERSHIP FORUM: CORRUPTION, DEMOCRACY AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN WEST AFRICA} 93-94 (1994); Onyango, supra note 6, at 3 (noting how Mobutu’s “corruption and vice directly impinged upon the people of Zaire’s economic and social rights to adequate health care, sufficient food and appropriate shelter.”).\end{thebibliography}
infringement of civil and political rights as well. Those emboldened enough to call for accountability and transparency in the conduct of public affairs are designated “security risks” or enemies of the “people” and become targets for incarceration or worse. 88

African corruption could not thrive on its own. Corrupt rulers receive significant outside support. As the Igbos of Nigeria say, when a person is dancing alone at the center of the road, his drummers must be somewhere nearby in the bush. The Cold War era stands out as a period of extensive outside support for repressive regimes and the propping up of corrupt rulers throughout Africa. The effects of this pernicious ideological wrangling can still be felt in Mozambique, Eritrea, Angola, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (formerly Zaire), among others. Adebayo Adedeji calls Somalia and Ethiopia “two . . . unfortunate examples of the havoc of the Cold War.” 89

The Cold War also ensured the continued influx of external loans to many African rulers despite their known distinction in mismanagement. As the next section reveals, the servicing of these (sometimes spurious) debts continues to take a significant toll on the funding of public services such as health and education. 90

D. Debt and Structural Adjustment

Many African states, like other developing countries, are overburdened with heavy debts. Debt burdens are major obstacles to meaningful economic development in those states and contribute to non-enforcement of ESCR. 91 According to the 1992 U.N.D.P. Human Development Report, from “1983-89, rich creditors received a staggering [$]242 billion [dollars] in net transfers on long term lending from indebted developing countries.” 92 The greatest impact of this transfer, according to George Kent, “is in sub-Saharan Africa where the debt load is approximately equal to the region’s cumulative gross national product.” 93


89. Adedeji, supra note 23, at 9-10. Adedeji argues that American support for Siad Barre’s suppression of Somalians sowed the seed of the country’s disintegration. The United States also scuttled several attempts to overthrow Mobutu Sese Sekou, who is believed to have amassed a fortune far in excess of his country’s national debt. The Soviets’ support allowed Mengistu Haile Mariam to decimate the Ethiopian people. Id.


91. See Guissé, supra note 4, at para. 54.


93. Kent, supra note 58. In some cases, the loans are “misappropriated by those
This situation has led to debt crises in these states, necessitating the current call for alleviation or renegotiation of their debts. Servicing these debts, some of which are "merely a series of fictitious operations bringing no benefit to the populations concerned, which they are nevertheless called on to repay," greatly incapacitates the states involved. They also undermine the prospects of the affected states to provide even the most basic facilities needed to meet ESCR obligations. Debt servicing has had "especially negative impacts on the poor and their children, obliging them to do without food subsidies and health and other services, and often pressing them into exploitative working conditions." This devastating impact is not mitigated by international development assistance, because, as George Kent notes,

\[\text{the amount of money going from the [S]outh to the [N]orth for debt servicing greatly exceeds the current amounts of official development assistance going from the [N]orth to the [S]outh. Moreover, official development aid is likely to benefit the rich and the middle class rather than the poor . . . [since it] does not concentrate on the most needy either within countries or among countries.}\]

Under the dual burden of debt and evaporating aid, many African states were goaded into adopting the structural adjustment policies of the International Monetary Fund (I.M.F.) and World Bank. These policies appear to have worsened their economic circumstances. These states responsible for managing them, to be redeposited in the banks of the creditor countries or reinvested in companies in those same countries." Guissé, supra note 4, at para 57.

94. Nigeria currently spends $3.5 billion (more than 40 percent of its 2000 budget) annually to service its debt burden of about $33 billion. See Laolu Akande, Ahead Visit, Clinton Begins Debt-Pardon Crusade for Nigeria, THE GUARDIAN (Lagos), July 13, 2000.

95. Guissé, supra note 4, at para 57.

96. Kent, supra note 58.

97. Id.; see also Yemi Osinbajo & Olukonyisola Ajayi, Human Rights and Economic Development in Developing Countries, 28 INT'L LAW. 727, 731 (1994). Strikingly, it has been reported that the "I.M.F. took £390m more out of Africa than it put in, in 1997" and that for every £1 given in aid by the West, £3 goes back to it as debt repayment. B.B.C. NEWS ONLINE, supra note 90.

98. Structural adjustment has been defined as "reforms of policies and institutions covering micro-economic (such as taxes and tariffs), macro-economic (fiscal policy) and institutional interventions—these are changes designed to improve resource allocation, increase economic efficiency, expand growth potential and increase resilience to shocks." WORLD BANK, STRUCTURAL ADJUSTMENT AND POVERTY: A CONCEPTUAL, EMPIRICAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK 22 (1990), cited in Skogly, supra note 41, at 755. According to Skogly, "[t]he premise of structural adjustment conditions is that certain economic factors should be altered in a given country to ensure better economic performance with a view to repay debt and debt servicing; to achieve a better balance of payment situation, and to achieve a healthier economy in general." Id. at 756. See also Caroline Thomas, International Financial Institutions and Social and Economic Human Rights: An Exploration, in HUMAN RIGHTS FIFTY YEARS ON, supra note 3, at 167 (noting that "[t]here was an unspoken agreement that adjustment and debt repayment would be rewarded by inflows of new finance and investment.").

99. See Osinbajo & Ajayi, supra note 97, at 731.
have had to reduce their imports, devalue their currencies, deregulate capital movements, privatize state public utilities, dismantle social programs by cutting government expenditures on social services, such as health care, education and removal of subsidies on market staples, and provide “national treatment” to foreign investors.100 These provisions, according to Michel Chossudovsky, “are often coupled with a ‘bankruptcy programme’ under the supervision of the World Bank with a view to triggering the liquidation of competing national enterprises,”101 with the obvious “loss of indigenous control of critical areas of the economy.” The adjustments culminate in severely austere measures that unleash sweeping pauperization on the majority of people and “a severe deterioration in the abilities of these countries to uphold the economic and social rights of their peoples.”102

The obvious impact of structural adjustment programs is to reduce the capacity of the states to meet their human rights obligations.103 In Africa and elsewhere, structural adjustment programs adversely affect not only ESCR, but also civil and political rights.104 As Yemi Osinbajo and


101. Chossudovsky, supra note 100.


In more specific ways, structural adjustment affects working conditions and the right to work through retrenchment as a result of deindigenization, privatisation and the liberalization of trade controls. The extent of available health care and its costs is severely affected by the introduction (as in Zimbabwe) of user fees, which is an additional burden on people who are already impoverished and exist largely in a subsistence economy. The nature of educational services and their accessibility is affected by the increase in fees for tuition ... Finally, the ability to provide food and combat poverty is affected by the overall concentration on export crops and removal of subsidies for market staples.

Onyango, supra note 6, at 27.


104. See Tshuma, supra note 103, at 230; see also Margaret Conklin & Daphne Davidson, The I.M.F. and Economic and Social Human Rights: A Case Study of Argentina, 1958-1985, 8 HUM. RTS. Q. 227 (1986) (exploring the violations of all human rights following the adoption of structural adjustment policies in Argentina).
Olukonyisola Ajayi observe, “[f]aced with standards of living well below poverty levels, the citizenry has usually responded with strikes, rioting, and other forms of dissent, which have almost always been met with suppression by force or draconian legislation.”

Although the structural adjustment policies are sold to states as the only way to improve their economies and reduce their debt burdens, the adjustment policies have merely worsened the social and economic ruin in these countries. This led the U.N. Sub-Commission on Human Rights Special Rapporteur to conclude that the adjustment policies “are actually means designed to recover the sums owed to the wealthy countries without any concern for the debtor countries.”

The debts have increased exponentially instead of decreasing, making the attempt at debt control and recovery an undisguised “failure as blatant as it is significant.”

Structural adjustment promotes marginalization and deprivation of ESCR by both worsening conditions and preventing states from recognizing or enforcing ESCR. By its example, the imposition of these policies devalues an ethos of accountability. External actors, who are not accountable to the affected people, induce these measures. Despite these policies’ track record of dehumanizing affected populations, many African

---

105. Osinbajo & Ajayi, supra note 97. In Ghana, Senegal, Nigeria, and Zambia, the ruling regimes resorted to repression to implement adjustment programs. See Tshuma, supra note 103, at 229. For the I.M.F.’s and World Bank’s need for policies on accountability and human rights, see Daniel D. Bradlow, The World Bank, the I.M.F., and Human Rights, 6 TRANSNAT’L L. & CONTEMP. PROBLEMS 47 (1996). It has been argued that women suffer most both from the economic crisis and from the adjustment policies. See Christine Chinkin & Shelly Wright, The Hunger Trap: Women, Food, and Self-Determination, 14 MICH. J. INT’L L. 262, 313 (1993); see also Sadasivam, supra note 102.


108. Guissé, supra note 4, at para. 66.

109. See Peter Baehr et al., HUMAN RIGHTS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: YEARBOOK 1996, at 394 (Peter Baehr et al. eds., 1996) (noting the exponential increase in the debt of Uganda since its adoption of structural adjustment policies).


111. See Obiora Chinedu Okofo, Re-Conceiving “Third World” Legitimate Governance Struggles in Our Time: Emergent Imperatives for Rights Activism, 6 BUFF. HUM. RTS. L. REV. 1, 1-37 (2000) (demonstrating how international financial institutions have assumed an ever-increasing share of Third World governance); see also Anne Orford, Locating the International: Military and Monetary Interventions After the Cold War, 38 HARV. INT’L L.J. 443, 451-60 (1997).
states are still being goaded into accepting them as a condition for rescheduling or cancellation of their debts.\textsuperscript{112} One is tempted to agree with the suggestion that “perpetuating the debt of the developing countries is the result of a deliberate and political decision designed solely to frustrate any attempt by the developing countries and their peoples to achieve economic and social progress.”\textsuperscript{113} The fact that “countries that oppose the measures suggested by the institutions (themselves agents of neo-colonial interests) do not receive any financial assistance”\textsuperscript{114} amply lends credence to the suggestion. Just as debts and structural adjustments are vital instruments sustaining the continued deprivation of ESCR of the people, there are growing fears that globalization further exacerbate human suffering and erosion of socio-economic rights.\textsuperscript{115} Be that as it may, African states’ relative achievement in the enforcement of civil and political rights shows that where the international will does exist greater achievements will be made in the enforcement of ESCR.

E. International Apathy and Hostility

One of the greatest impediments to the enforcement and realization of ESCR in Africa is the indifference—and even hostility—of the international community towards enforceable ESCR. The most notable monument to this attitude is the fact that the UDHR had to be translated into two covenants instead of one.\textsuperscript{116} Although lip service was paid to the notion of ESCR during much of the Cold War, indifference and apathy towards enforceable ESCR grew increasingly in the later years of the Cold War and especially since the end of the Cold War.\textsuperscript{117} The end of the Cold War deprived ESCR of the support of a superpower, the Soviet Union, on the international stage. The demise of the Soviet Union was taken as the defeat of an ideology that emphasizes ESCR and a victory for liberal ideology that

\textsuperscript{112} In 2000, U.S. Treasury Secretary Larry Summers announced that the United States would support Nigeria’s bid for debt rescheduling only if Nigeria accepts the structural adjustment policies of the I.M.F. and “manages to keep up with the terms of [the] program with the International Monetary Fund.” Stephen Fidler, Nigeria Wins U.S. Debt Backing, FINANCIAL TIMES, June 12, 2000; see also Arthur Obayuwana, U.S. Links Support for Debt Relief to I.M.F. Conditions, THE GUARDIAN (Lagos), June 13, 2000.

\textsuperscript{113} Guissé, supra note 4, at para. 59.

\textsuperscript{114} Skogly, supra note 41, at 756.

\textsuperscript{115} There is ample scholarship addressing the negative impact of globalization on ESCR in both developed and developing states. See generally MICHEL CHOSSUDOVSKY, THE GLOBALIZATION OF POVERTY: IMPACTS OF THE IMF AND WORLD BANK REFORMS (1997); GLOBALIZATION: CRITICAL REFLECTIONS (J. Mittleman ed., 1996); Robert McCrorquodale & Richard Fairbrother, Globalization and Human Rights, 21 HUM. RTS. Q. 735 (1999).

\textsuperscript{116} See HENRY J. STEINER & PHILIP ALSTON, INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS IN CONTEXT: LAW, POLITICS, AND MORALES 256-57 (2d ed. 1996).

\textsuperscript{117} But see Mugwanya, supra note 72, at 38 (celebrating the end of the Cold War as having “liberated international efforts to promote human rights from ideological conflicts and political sloganeering”). On the contrary, the end of the Cold War merely shifted ideological battlefronts, making the war more covert and dangerous. The “victory” enthroned a mentality of ideological victors and vanquished, making the “victors” more entrenched in their ways to the detriment of ESCR enforcement.
emphasizes civil and political rights to the exclusion of ESCR.

The supposed victory of liberalism led to the current "development orthodoxy" based on economic and political liberalism, which is being sold as the best method for maximizing global welfare. Yet, as Caroline Thomas points out, "evidence of such a claim is lacking, resting largely on the perceived absence of alternatives. [Per contra,] evidence against seems to be mounting." Furthermore, "even the supporters of the neoliberal market economics have had to admit its abysmal failure to assist the world's poor" and improve the realization of ESCR. Despite all the praises for the market system of wealth creation as the most effective that humanity has yet devised, "it remains an imperfect force since two-thirds of the world's population have gained little or no substantive advantage from rapid economic growth. [Even] in the developed world, the lowest quartile has witnessed trickle-up rather than trickle-down." Although international markets may give little reason for hope, one cannot underestimate the potency of external pressures on the overall improvement of the human rights situation in Africa. What these influences have helped to achieve with respect to civil and political rights—including entrenching those rights as enforceable under the various constitutions—has not, however, been attempted with regard to ESCR. Even prominent transnational human rights organizations have yet to rise fully to the occasion in the area of ESCR. Thus, while perceived...
violations of civil and political rights give rise to a hue and cry, there is usually only silence in the face of egregious violations of ESCR, thereby creating the impression that no injustice has been done and emboldening violators. With insufficient or no pressure on them, several governments continue to deny the enforcement and justiciability of socio-economic rights and to misuse funds.\textsuperscript{126}

Arguably, the efforts of some African states with respect to the enforcement of civil and political rights would not have materialized without the pressures of the international community—states, NGOs, and civil society. It follows that similar efforts by the international community with respect to ESCR might have achieved similar results in the enforcement of ESCR.\textsuperscript{127} However, most states either lack the moral high ground to criticize other states about their respect for ESCR or will not do so for ideological reasons. As a consequence, ESCR remain the province of impunity. Moreover, developed states have successfully marketed at home and abroad a mythology that ESCR are prohibitively expensive, convincing less developed states that the path to economic wealth cannot include enforceable ESCR.\textsuperscript{128}

The hostility of some Western states to the notion of enforceable ESCR\textsuperscript{129} reveals itself in their various tactics to undermine the efforts of developing states moving in the direction of implementation or enforcement of ESCR. This undermining takes place directly or by proxy, through agents, multinational corporations, the World Trade Organization (W.T.O.) or other international financial institutions.\textsuperscript{130} For instance, in the based N.G.O.s have been, for the most part, as unconcerned in this regard as international human rights organizations. This is unfortunate, for human rights are not given, they are taken through struggle against the political elite. See Harry M. Scoble, \textit{Human Rights Non-Governmental Organisations in Black Africa: Their Problems and Prospects in the Wake of the Banjul Charter}, in \textit{HUMAN RIGHTS AND DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA 177} (Claude E. Welch & Ronald I. Meltzer eds., 1984).

\textsuperscript{126} See Ojo & Sesay, supra note 124, at 103 (noting the negative impact of the absence of "potent pressure on African leaders in the way they treat their citizens"). See generally Augustine Ikellegbe, \textit{Civil Society, Oil and Conflict in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria: Ramifications of Civil Society for a Regional Resource Struggle}, 39 J. MOD. AFR. STUD. 437 (2001) (noting the impact of civil groups' sustained engagement with, and pressures on, both state and non-state actors in elevating or compelling the entrance of a human rights problem onto the national agenda, warranting more urgent resolutions than would have been the case).


\textsuperscript{128} See Thomas, supra note 98, at 171-72.


\textsuperscript{130} Other tactics include: the perpetuation of indebtedness and initiation of catastrophic economic polices, see Guisse, supra note 4, at para. 60; Rhoda E. Howard, \textit{Civil Conflict in Sub-Saharan Africa: Internally Generated Causes}, 51 INT'L J. 26, 32 (1996); Orford, supra note 111, at 464-75; pressuring the various countries to open up their borders to permit the dumping of products that end up stifling local industries, see Kent, supra note 58; toppling regimes that are opposed to these tactics, see Ariande K. Sacharoff, \textit{Multinationals in Host Countries: Can They Be
past few years, some Western states, particularly the United States, have undermined the efforts of certain developing countries to obtain cheaper generic drugs by threatening trade sanctions, notwithstanding that "drug costs account for up to 60 percent of health care budgets in poor countries." In a gross show of insensitivity, the U.S. fought South Africa's policies to procure cheaper generic HIV drugs, in spite of clear evidence of a catastrophic AIDS epidemic in South Africa. According to Bess-Carolina Dolmo, even when U.S. pressure on South Africa was eased due mainly to pressures from civil society groups, the U.S. still directed its "arsenal trade attacks" on "other nations that have enacted [similar] measures permitting compulsory licensing and parallel trade . . . ."132

The foregoing example is emblematic of developed states' attitudes towards developing states' socio-economic development. In his final report on the impunity of perpetrators of ESCR violations, U.N. Commission on Human Rights Special Rapporteur, Mr. El Hadji Guissé notes:

During the discussions on the methods of implementing economic, social and cultural rights, . . . the representatives of several developing countries expressed the fear that the inevitably slow progress in realizing those rights might be taken for unwillingness on their part. They had not reckoned with the developed countries' determination to undermine any possible basis for a truly fair world economic order where economic, social and cultural rights would have a fair chance of being realized. It was soon observed afterwards that the fears of the former and the hypocrisy of the latter very rapidly became a source of massive grave violations of economic, social and cultural rights . . . ."133

---

132. Dolmo, supra note 131, at 151.
133. Guissé, supra note 4, at para. 16 (emphasis added); see also Why King Gold Has No
The inescapable conclusion is that, not only did the international community fail to provide the active support it might have, but also members of the international community actively hindered the development of ESCR. Without such hostile interference, ESCR might have fared better in many African states than they have.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF CONTINUED MARGINALIZATION

Certain implications are conspicuously discernible from the continued marginalization of the enforcement of ESCR. As Henry Shue observes, “to enjoy something only at the discretion of someone else, especially someone powerful enough to deprive you of it at will, is precisely not to enjoy a right to it.”134 The notion of a non-enforceable right is nothing but a negation of the very concept of right.135 Continued marginalization of the enforcement of ESCR dresses these rights in the garb of mere luxuries. This deception is emblematic and symptomatic of the continued oppression, and relegation to second-class citizens, of those most dependent on such rights for basic survival.136

For a region that has staked its integrity on the adoption of a document that gives equal prominence to all aspects of human rights, maintaining a contradictory posture at the domestic level is an exercise in self ridicule. It also casts the Charter in a bad light for proclaiming what cannot be guaranteed. By marginalizing the enforcement of these rights, the African claim that the satisfaction of ESCR is the precondition for the enjoyment of civil and political rights in international fora rings hollow and remains exposed for what it is: a poor excuse for insensate violations.

The continued marginalization of ESCR also deepens the collective feeling of betrayal of the African people. The modern state’s displacement of traditional African systems, which, to a great extent, ensured the welfare of every member of the community, failed to bring with it an adequate replacement.137 Unlike traditional structures, the state appears as a remote center of power that has no relevance to the lives of the people. This feeling of betrayal manifests itself in various forms, including lack of faith in the process of supposed democratic governance.

Accordingly, one of the most serious consequences of the continued marginalization of ESCR is the prolongation of the existing crisis of state and governmental legitimacy in Africa. People hold minimum

135. According to Dinah Shelton, REMEDIES IN INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW 37 (1999), “[a] state that fails to protect fully individuals against human rights violations or that otherwise violates remedial rights commits an independent, further violation of internationally-recognized human rights.”
136. See Skogly, supra, note 41, at 770 (discussing the advantages of effective recognition of all human rights, especially economic, social and cultural rights).
137. See Agbakwa, supra note 47, at 100-09.
expectations of their state and government. These expectations are “the irreducible duties of any ruling apparatus to its subjects, such that a failure to discharge these duties vitiates the legitimacy of the regime’s assertion of authority.” When such expectations are not met, it fuels the general level of disaffection and dissatisfaction that may lead to the fall of the government, either by constitutional or extra-constitutional means. As Jack Donnelly argues:

The link between a regime’s ability to foster development (prosperity) and the public’s perception of the regime’s legitimacy is close to a universal, cross-cultural political law. Whatever a ruling regime’s sociological and ideological bases, its *sustained or severe inability to deliver prosperity*, however that may be understood locally, *typically leads to a serious political challenge*. 139

Donnelly’s argument is particularly apt for Africa. As has been argued earlier, the continued deprivation of ESCR sometimes leads to popular insurrections and civil war. In such situations, existing governments are perceived as having outlived their usefulness as a result of the deterioration of the basic socio-economic rights of the people. As in Somalia, crisis generated by an attempt to oust an incumbent government threatens the foundations of the state and may lead to its disintegration.

In the ensuing crisis of legitimacy, maintaining law and order can be difficult or impossible, and civil and political rights are likely to be neglected. Economic activities are also truncated, thus imperiling developmental efforts. This bad situation is made worse when people are


140. *See supra* notes 19, 20, 23-26. In its 1979-80 annual report, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights noted the existence of an “organic relationship between the violation of rights to physical security on the one hand, and neglect of economic and social rights . . . on the other.” It further noted that “neglect of economic and social rights, especially when political participation has been suppressed, produces the kind of social polarization that leads to acts of terrorism by and against the government.” *INTER-AMERICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS, ANNUAL REPORT 1979-80*, at 151 (1980). The Commission reiterated in 1991 that “it is evident that in many cases poverty is a wellspring of political and social conflict.” *ANNUAL REPORT 1991*, at 305 (1991); *see also* Orford, *supra* note 111, at 451-55 (noting the role of economic crisis in the loss of legitimacy of the Yugoslavian federal government, which snowballed into fratricidal civil conflict). *But see* Milner et al., *supra* note 28, at 412 (arguing that “people at the lowest level of needs fulfillment would have neither the wherewithal nor the energy to pose threats to a regime, no matter how displeased they were with the status quo”).

displaced as refugees.

Even in the absence of a full-blown civil conflagration, want and deprivation create an atmosphere that is not conducive to the enjoyment of civil and political rights. As Donnelly states, "those living on the economic edge or with no realistic prospect of a better life for their children are much less likely to be willing to accommodate the interests and rights of others." 142 Thus, in the absence of measures that are likely to ensure the realization of all rights, protecting only civil and political rights without ESCR is tantamount to making ropes out of sand.

Protecting all rights requires both the implementation of existing approaches, like effective judicial review, and the development of alternative approaches, as discussed in the next section.

V. STRATEGIZING THE WAY FORWARD

A. Rejection of the Western Model

Unless there is a committed rejection of the dominant Western paradigm that has historically viewed civil and political rights as the rights that are most worthy of enforcement, substantial progress towards the enforcement of ESCR in Africa may continue to elude African states. The West may be able to maintain such a model, because its attainment of an appreciable standard of living provides an environment that enables the enjoyment of civil and political rights. African states do not enjoy this luxury. They cannot afford this model without facing widespread civil and social strife. Already, by adopting a charter that departs markedly from the European Convention and Inter-American Convention, African states demonstrated an understanding of the inadequacies of the two systems for their purposes. A rejection of the Western model, therefore, merely requires a practical commitment to the noble intentions expressed in the African Charter.

This practical commitment does not necessarily entail guaranteeing the maximum enjoyment of all the Charter's provisions on ESCR. Rather, it requires judicial (including quasi-judicial and other forms of independent review), legislative, and executive actions to ensure a baseline, minimum protection of all rights equally. A truly African approach is one that practically parallels the African Charter or one that critically reflects the South African model.

Rejection of the Western model, as advocated here, presupposes a complete rejection of ideologies that subordinate ESCR. It does not, however, advocate a puritanical isolationist movement that heedlessly rejects the obvious achievements of the institutional structures of Western human rights regimes. As the next section demonstrates, the institutional

mechanisms of these older regimes have something important to offer in spite of their normative and ideological shackles.

B. Alternative Enforcement Approach

The best way to effectuate any human rights provision may be to subject it to direct judicial scrutiny in the way that many systems currently protect certain human rights.\(^{143}\) For ESCR, such procedures are lacking in many African states. Given the necessity of ensuring effective protection of human dignity—the enjoyment and protection of all rights without discrimination—additional means ought to be utilized to give effect to ESCR provisions.

In recommending the adoption of the following approaches, I am wary of appearing to advocate subjugation of ESCR to other rights. I do not. Rather, these approaches are put forward as interim measures pending the adoption by state authorities of a regime of directly enforceable (justiciable) ESCR. Ironically perhaps, I draw insights from the jurisprudence of Western human rights systems (European and Inter-American) that place ESCR in a subservient position and whose ideological foundations I partially reject. However, if, as I have argued, it is true that ESCR and other rights are inseparable, it should not be surprising that effective systems set up to protect the latter will find their way into the territory of the former. These regimes have recently demonstrated an ability to adjust to the challenges of addressing basic violations of ESCR.\(^{144}\) In particular, the European Court on Human Rights offers a rich insight into how a progressive judicial, quasi-judicial, or administrative body can transcend normative hurdles in finding solutions to serious human rights problems. Its jurisprudence is, therefore, relevant for immediately effectuating ESCR in African states where these rights are still not domestically justiciable, notwithstanding the provisions of the African Charter.

1. Concerted and Integrated Approach

The fact that ESCR are regarded as mere aspirations and are non-justiciable in several African constitutions,\(^{145}\) as well as largely ignored at the regional level, means that there is as yet no hope for their direct judicial

---

\(^{143}\) While judicial remedies constitute the principal guarantees of human rights, they do not always present the most desirable means by which to affirm these rights. Sometimes, given their costly, time-consuming, and procedure-laden nature, individuals may prefer to pursue administrative avenues to settle their disputes. Indeed, there is growing evidence that individuals are turning to non-judicial remedies. See Wieruszewski, supra note 35, at 279 n.13. In light of this fact, the approaches discussed below are equally amenable to judicial, quasi-judicial, and administrative measures.

\(^{144}\) For insight into how the ECHR is adapting to the challenges of basic violations of ESCR, see, for example, Aisling Reidy et al., Gross Violations of Human Rights: Invoking the European Convention on Human Rights in the Case of Turkey, 15 NETH. Q. HUM. RTS. 161 (1997).

\(^{145}\) Above, I have listed examples of such constitutions. See supra note 46.
enforcement as independent rights. Accordingly, a concerted and integrated approach that takes advantage of currently enforced rights is needed.

The concerted and integrated approach seeks to enforce ESCR through the provisions on civil and political rights that are, or usually are, justiciable. Using this method, ESCR can get their foot in the door, where they can be developed, expanded, and enforced by the domestic courts, the African Court of Human Rights (when it becomes operational), the African Commission, and national human rights commissions (where they exist). It is not certain that these African institutions will rise to the occasion, but there is a strong chance of it. These institutions will merely be holding the states to the standards they recognized by ratifying the Charter and to which the states assumed a definite and binding obligation to give effect in their respective domestic legal order. It is clear that some African judges would make the most of an opportunity to put ESCR and other rights on equal footing. As a retired Justice of the Nigerian Supreme Court recognized:

The fundamental rights provisions of our Constitution (dealing with civil and political rights) cannot be appreciated let alone enjoyed in a state of utter illiteracy and abject poverty. To attain true liberty and freedom the average [citizen] needs to have equal access to ... decent housing and health services. If these opportunities are not equal and, or, equally accessible, then the talk of liberty, of equality or even justice will be a far cry ....

The concerted and integrated approach accords with the functional or interpretative approach practiced by the European Court of Human Rights. Under the European system of human rights, State Parties' obligations with respect to socio-economic rights are, in the words of Matti Pellonpaa, "of a somewhat less straightforward nature, and the international supervision


147. Human rights commissions currently exist in a number of African states, including Benin, Cameroon, Chad, Ghana, Kenya, Liberia, Malawi, Nigeria, Rwanda, Senegal, South Africa, Sudan, Togo, Uganda and Zambia. Plans are underway to establish such commissions in Ethiopia and Tanzania. Many of the commissions, however, have remained administrative outposts for the government of the day and have proven to be disappointments. Many have very limited and flawed mandates with limited ability to investigate, monitor, or make public statements. But some—like the Ghanaian, Senegalese, South African, Nigerian and Ugandan commissions—appear very promising in their activities so far. See HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH WORLD REPORT 1999, at 12-13 (1998). For a detailed assessment of the performance of some of these commissions, see Obiora Chinedu Okafor & Shedrack C. Agbakwa, On Legalism, Popular Agency and "Voices of Suffering": The Nigerian National Human Rights Commission in Context, 24 HUM. RTS. Q. (forthcoming Aug. 2002).


far less effective”¹⁵⁰ having been left to the less judicial mechanisms of the European Social Charter.¹⁵¹ In the absence of judicial enforcement for rights provided under the Social Charter, the European Court has assumed a dynamic mode of interpretation that seeks to effectuate the socio-economic rights provided in the Social Charter. In Airey v. Ireland, the European Court of Human Rights found connection between the classical civil liberties covered by the European Convention and socio-economic rights covered by the Social Charter.¹⁵² The Court noted:

Whilst the [European Convention on Human Rights] sets forth what are essentially civil and political rights, many of them have implications of a social or economic nature. The Court therefore considers, like the Commission, that the mere fact that an interpretation of the Convention may extend into the sphere of social and economic rights should not be a decisive factor against such an interpretation; there is no water-tight division separating that sphere from the field of the Convention.¹⁵³

In Airey, the Court held that a person seeking legal separation from a spouse had a right to legal aid if she could not afford to pay a lawyer. The Court found that denying Ms. Airey such aid amounted to a violation of her right of access to courts and of her right to a fair trial guaranteed by Article 6 of the European Convention. The Court rejected the Government’s argument that “the [European] Convention should not be interpreted so as to achieve social and economic developments in a Contracting State.”¹⁵⁴ The case shows how political rights can be used to secure economic rights, and indeed, how they are inextricably linked.

In Schuler-Zgraggen v. Switzerland,¹⁵⁵ the Court extended protections against discrimination under Article 6(1) and Article 14 of the European Convention to ESCR. The Court held, “today the general rule is that Article 6(1) does apply in the field of social insurance, including even welfare assistance.”¹⁵⁶ In Akdivar v. Turkey,¹⁵⁷ the Court recognized claims that forced evictions violated the right to privacy under Article 8 of the European Convention. Similarly, in Feldbrugge v. The Netherlands¹⁵⁸ and

¹⁵³. Id. at 316-17 (emphasis added).
¹⁵⁴. Id. at 316.
Deumeland v. Germany, the Court extended the non-discrimination right to health insurance allowances.

The U.N. Human Rights Committee has also applied the integrated approach, using non-discrimination guarantees in Article 26 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights to require unemployment benefits. An integrated approach may also be inferred from the Human Rights Committee’s elaboration on the “social dimension” of the right to life. In its General Comments No. 6 on the right to life, the Committee noted the desirability for states to take “all possible measures to reduce infant mortality and to increase life expectancy, especially in adopting measures to eliminate malnutrition and epidemics.”

Using the integrated approach in Tavares v. France, the European Commission recognized that a public-health system falling below a certain minimum level of quality could be in breach of a state’s obligation to protect the right to life under Article 2 of the European Convention. Although the Commission did not find the respondents liable, it clearly demonstrated that regulatory measures aimed at protecting life with regard to the hospital system are inherent in the Convention’s protection of the right to life.

Following examples such as these, domestic courts, National Human Rights Institutions, the African Court, and the African Commission can creatively enforce ESCR through civil and political rights. Using this integrated and concerted approach, for instance, the right to health can be enforced through the right to life, for it is absurd to claim to have a right to life if the individual is so poor that she cannot afford the cost of adequate medical treatment to enable her to enjoy the right to life. It may also amount to unlawful and arbitrary deprivation of life if the victim does not have access to adequate and sufficient medical facilities or treatment.

Likewise, the integrated and concerted approach can be used to enforce the right to education through the right to freedom of expression and the right to participate in the governance of one’s country. As a legacy of colonialism, African states conduct many affairs in official

---

162. Id. at 454, ¶5.
164. See id.
165. See Afr. Charter, supra note 2, at art. 4; see also FED. REP. NIG. CONST. § 33; REP. S. AFR. CONST. § 11.
166. See Pellonpaa, supra note 150, at 868-69 (arguing that right to life presupposes a certain minimum level of health services and that respect for private and family life under Article 8 of the European Convention may in certain circumstances oblige the state to provide housing to the homeless).
167. See Afr. Charter, supra note 2, art. 13(1).
languages that are different from the original language of the people in these states. Most states have laws imposing a minimum qualification as a precondition for aspiring to elective positions. In order to guarantee the political right to participation in governance, African institutions will need to ensure the right to acquire requisite qualifications and basic education.

For the same reasons, individuals could seek the right of equal access to state public services based on the freedom from discrimination. After all, those who do not meet the imposed minimum qualifications for aspiring to elective offices due to inadequate educational opportunities are victims of state sanctioned discrimination. A state ought not to raise participatory thresholds to disbar some citizens when, at the same time, it cannot provide those who are likely to be disqualified with the enabling environment and facilities for meeting the threshold.

Enforcing a person's right to participate in government, to express herself freely, or to be free from discrimination in the foregoing instances would not necessarily obligate de jure the state to provide free education as such. Rather, it obligates the state not to deny its people participation, access, or other civil services implicated by the policies. However, it will quickly be apparent that de facto these rights require a minimum level of education, health, or other social services.

Using the integrated and concerted approach may avoid the obstacles posed by the ambiguity of the ESCR provisions of the African Charter. Rather than debating which of two or more possible interpretations is correct, this approach concentrates on the practical effectuation of the spirit and substance of the provision. Moreover, the integrated and concerted approach will be in keeping with the holistic, traditional African philosophy and conception of rights, the virtues of which are the "historical tradition and values of African civilization [that] inspire and characterize [the] reflection on the concept of human and peoples' rights" in the African Charter.

Evidently, the reach of this approach is limited in the sense that a victim who cannot fit her complaints within any of the justiciable provisions of civil and political rights is likely to be left without a remedy. Consequently, this approach is no replacement for a system of direct justiciability and enforcement of ESCR. Nor does this approach obviate the need to amend the African Charter to strengthen its normative and institutional framework. To those proposed amendments should be added a change to the Protocol of the African Court to provide for direct individual access. It is not part of Africa's traditional heritage that a

168. See Afr. Charter, supra note 2, art. 13(2).
170. See Mutua, African Human Rights Court, supra note 72, at 358.
person against whom a complaint is to be made must first give her consent. There is no good reason for a state to benefit from such a shield.

2. Minimum Threshold Approach

Commentators have described the Inter-American Commission's attempt to give effect to ESCR as the "minimum threshold approach." The term does not appear in the Convention. The emphasis of this approach is on equal recognition and implementation of all human rights. As explained by Craven, "[r]ather than creating any a priori hierarchy of rights or emphasizing categorical differences in implementation, the minimum threshold approach advocates the necessity of action being taken across the board to ensure for all a minimum level of enjoyment of the whole range of human rights." In 1980, the Commission determined that State Parties should "strive to attain the economic and social aspirations of its people by following an order that assigns priority to... the 'rights of survival' and 'basic needs.'" In 1993, the Commission explained that the obligation to observe and defend human rights of individuals in the American Declaration and the American Convention "obligates [states], regardless of the level of economic development, to guarantee a minimum threshold of these rights."

As recognized by the Commission, the minimum threshold approach encapsulates a number of basic principles that are fundamental to the implementation of ESCR. Among other things, this approach calls for "the identification of the most deprived groups" and demands "that in the creation and implementation of economic and social policies, states should place emphasis, as a priority, upon assisting the poorest and the most vulnerable in society." In order to realize the minimum threshold, the Commission underscored the importance of "pay[ing] close attention to the equitable and effective use of available resources and the allocation of
public expenditures to social programs that address the living conditions of the more vulnerable sectors of society . . . .”

The Commission’s minimum threshold is in line with the jurisprudence of the U.N. Committee on ESCR. In its General Comment No. 3, the Committee spoke of “a minimum core obligation” necessary to “ensure the satisfaction, at the very least, minimum essential levels of each of the rights.” According to the Committee, the existence of resource constraints does not in any way eliminate State Parties’ obligations to protect “the vulnerable members of society.”

Although, for the most part, the Inter-American Commission’s pronouncements hover in the region of the abstract, and the Commission has not put itself in a position to review claims of ESCR violations, the minimum threshold approach holds potential to advance the cause of ESCR. An effective human rights commission, whether national or regional, could utilize the approach to require state accountability for those policies, decisions, and practices that would diminish the provision of ESCR. A court or commission could invoke the approach to ensure that a State Party does not amass instruments of torture in the name of state security or spend excessively on defense while inadequately supporting measures to protect the health of the people. Considering the “equitable and effective use of available resources,” a court or commission could compel a government to justify its priorities, for instance where it chooses to embark on a prestige development project or construct a sports stadium while neglecting dilapidated health facilities.

Using the minimum threshold approach, the African Commission or the African Court could set up country-specific thresholds (or minimum core obligations) measured by indicators to determine what amounts to “the best attainable state of physical and mental health” or “necessary measures to protect the health of their people.” In this way, what the government can or cannot afford can be independently verified and juxtaposed with other competing national priorities. This baseline approach could also be used to set up benchmarks to measure the “equity”

179. INTER-AMERICAN COMM’N, supra note 174, at 533.
181. See U.N. COMM. ON ESCR, supra note 180, at ¶ 10.
182. Id. at ¶¶ 11-12.
183. See Craven, supra note 174, at 318.
184. Fairness and appropriateness of governmental actions and proposed or actual expenditures are implicated in the phrase “equitable and effective use of available resources.” A creative and effective use of the minimum threshold approach will necessarily involve evaluating the fairness of a state’s actions (vis-à-vis the most vulnerable group) and the appropriateness of its use (or allocation) of resources.
185. See Maria Green, What We Talk About When We Talk About Indicators: Current Approaches to Human Rights Measurement, 23 HUM. RTS. Q. 1062, 1065 (2001) (defining a human rights “indicator” as “a piece of information used in measuring the extent to which a legal right is being fulfilled or enjoyed in a given situation”).
186. Afr. Charter, supra note 2, art. 16.
and "satisfaction" in "equitable and satisfactory working conditions." 187 With the aid of such indicators, it would be easier to ascertain or monitor when a state fails to fulfill its obligations. 188 Admittedly, fixing a minimum threshold is more of an administrative duty properly exercised by a non-judicial body like the Commission. Nonetheless, it is not inconceivable for a court to embark on such an exercise. A court can always encompass such an exercise within its notions of fairness and equity. After all, the category of fairness is never closed and can be expanded to embrace new situations.

One obstacle to a court-directed minimum threshold approach is that the allocation of resources is a policy matter properly left to the executive and legislature. The difference with respect to the courts' involvement in the minimum threshold approach would "be one of degree and not kind." 189 Courts frequently make decisions and orders based on public policy. 190 Lord Denning and Lord Diplock of the English Bench have revealed their consideration of policy issues in determining cases. 191

Courts' decisions regularly impact the application of resources as well. Where the court finds the state liable for violating a citizen's right to personal liberty and awards damages for unlawful detention, such a decision implicates the state's application of resources. Where the court finds a state liable for failure to protect a citizen from counter-demonstrators while in the lawful exercise of her freedom of expression, it is unlikely that the state will prevail on a defense based on lack of resources to recruit and equip police officers. Although the threat of future damages will likely induce the state to increase funding for public safety, the court is not blamed for making decisions on the allocation of resources.

187. See Afr. Charter, supra note 2, art. 15.
188. See Andreassen et al., supra note 172, at 341 (noting that when the threshold approach is adopted "[t]he scope of violation of socio-economic rights would then refer to the percentage of the population not assured of this minimal threshold, in the first instance, and further involve the question of whether such failure of minimal threshold assurance is evenly or unevenly distributed by group, defined by ethnicity, race, occupation etc..." (emphasis added)). Philip Alston appears to be making a case for the minimum threshold approach when he states:

The fact that there must exist such a [minimum] core [of each right that cannot be diminished under any pretext]... would seem to be a logical implication of the use of the terminology of rights. In other words, there would be no justification for elevating a "claim" to the status of a right (with all the connotations that concept is generally assumed to have) if its normative content could be so indeterminate as to allow for the possibility that the rightholders possess no particular entitlement to anything. Each right must therefore give rise to an absolute minimum entitlement in the absence of which a state party is to be considered to be in violation of its obligations.

190. Id. at 6-10.
The minimum threshold approach, therefore, is not an entirely novel practice, but an extension of an already existing practice. Even if it were entirely new, this is no reason, by itself, to reject it. The courts are put in place to do justice. Justice must shift and adjust to cover new situations and the demands of the times. If the courts are not sufficiently equipped, they should be and the earlier the better.

The minimum threshold approach can reinforce the concerted and integrated approach and make it more effective. A court or commission could hold a State Party to be in violation of the right of an individual to “respect of the dignity inherent in a human being” where the individual has been made to live below the threshold set for the defendant State Party. In this way, it would be more difficult for a state or government to use lack of development as a defense, because its financial abilities would have been independently assessed and factored into its minimum threshold.

If these two approaches were combined effectively, it might be possible to provide greater, effective ESCR protection under the African Charter, even in the absence of direct national judicial enforcement. Regardless, the integrated and minimum threshold approaches should be a first step, and not the end game, in the overall effort to accord due, equal relevance to ESCR under the African Charter at both the national and regional levels.

VI. CONCLUSION

Human rights in Africa should be a quintessence of Africa’s attempt to reclaim humanity following its devaluation by the most invidious abuses, especially the slavo-colonial, tentacular reach of some European states. Full reclamation of humanity entails equal emphasis on what it takes to be human. This equal emphasis translates into equal enforcement of all human rights, whether civil and political or ESCR, without discrimination. It requires a change of attitude towards ESCR in contemporary Africa. Protecting and enforcing only civil and political rights in a situation of exacerbated civil and political strife occasioned by worsening socio-economic conditions “projects an image of truncated humanity.” It “excludes those segments of society [the overwhelming majority] for whom autonomy means little without the [basic] necessities of life.” As the South African Constitutional Court underlined in Grootboom: “A society must seek to ensure that the basic necessities of life are provided to all if it is to be a society based on human dignity, equality and freedom.” The solution lies in adopting a truly holistic view of human dignity: one that is “pursued in light of both the overarching purposes and underlying values of human rights protection, rather than under the constraint of false

192. See Afr. Charter, supra note 2, art. 5.
194. Id. (emphasis added).
dichotomies."196