On Teaching International Law


Reviewed by Rosalyn Higgins*

This monumental collection of cases and materials brings to fruition many years of effort by the authors, assisted at various stages of the project by other colleagues. For those who have been exposed to the culture shock of a policy science course on international law (and survived the experience) and for those who have had the intellectual stimulation of teaching such a course, the publication of this book is an important event. It makes available a radically different approach to the teaching of international law. This difference lies not in its content: a student who has mastered these materials will emerge with an enormous knowledge of substantive international law. The authors have never selected for our instruction materials that are ephemeral or singular, nor do they do so now. The traditionalist will feel neither that vital source materials are missing, nor that undue emphasis is given to materials of no weight. This is not the sort of fashionable textbook that is full of (ill-informed) letters to the New York Times on current problems of international law, but in which the Lotus case and the Vienna Convention on Treaties are inexplicably missing. Rather, the difference between this and other texts is one of approach and structure. By breaking away from the traditional textbook headings, the authors show that the real interrelationship of the different facets of international law is a dynamic rather than a static process. This structural approach demonstrates the importance of contextual analysis, and stresses ways in which international law is directed toward the attainment of certain policy objectives and the promotion of particular values.

Initial exposure to the policy science approach is not an entirely orderly process; it is a baffling, disturbing, and finally exhilarating experi-
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ence. One is not, initially, carried along step by step. Rather, the experience is like seeing a vast photographic negative being developed before one’s eyes: out of the darkness, first some bits and then others become clearer and more intelligible; their relationships to one another become apparent; finally a comprehensive overall picture has presented itself, and it seems a wonder that previously one could have had difficulties recognizing any of the component parts or appreciating the picture as a whole. Of course, some of those from traditional law backgrounds will not have waited for the final result: they will have fallen by the wayside long since, convinced that policy science is patchy and only partly intelligible. And at least part of the reason why students often give up is an absence of sufficient guiding signals in the early days, and too much heavy substantive material to digest in a context for which the student is insufficiently prepared.

Part One of this casebook is only partially successful in dealing with this problem of initial exposure to the policy science approach. Part One is entitled “The Global Community Context” and deals with the constitutive process—how international law is made and applied. It thus covers the ground that in traditional texts would be headed “Sources of International Law”—and much more besides.

The first seven pages contain a brief but invaluable essay by the authors on international law as a process of authoritative decision, which serves the teacher well in dealing with the essential starting point, “What is international law?” This section underlines the essential point that the question of what international law is cannot be answered without reference to how it is made and applied.

While thoroughly approving this approach, I still have some difficulties with the rest of Part One. The authors begin with Article 38 of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, which lists the sources of international law to be applied by the Court. The text, unlike most others, then asks questions relating to Article 38, even before it has introduced the materials: “Is Article 38 a sufficient guide to the range of contemporary international lawmaking? Is it comprehensive? Is it homogeneous? Does it, in particular, adequately direct the inquirer to customary international law? Can it account for law made by the U.N. General Assembly? The Security Council?” (p. 7).

Is the offering of detailed studies on specific substantive topics of customary international law the best way to emphasize law as process, and to emphasize the essential relationship between the identification of international law and its creation and application? Two sets of readings follow the introductory essay and Article 38 of the Statute. The first is on the lawfulness of hydrogen bomb testings in the mid-1950s, and of atmospheric testing more generally over the last twenty years. The selec-
tion of readings is admirable—but so detailed that it requires the highest calibre teaching to keep reminding students that what they are here concerned with is the process of making and developing international law: by custom, by the writings of leading jurists, by treaties (which can in certain circumstances be normative even if ratified by only a limited number of parties), by resolutions, by judicial decision.

I have a similar concern about the second set of readings, entitled "The Protection of Foreign Investment" (p. 46) (and which perhaps could have been called "the Protection of Foreign Investment and the Equitable Allocation of Natural Resources" if claims of culture bias are to be avoided). Of course, this topic is an admirable one by which to illustrate the way in which non-binding General Assembly resolutions, Supreme Court decisions, congressional responses, and other indicia all interplay to make, apply, and continuously develop international law. But the substantive issues underlying the problems of expropriation and compensation are extremely difficult, as are the concepts of act of state and permanent sovereignty over natural resources (not to mention sovereign immunity, which suddenly faces the unwary student in the extracts given in the Dunhill case).

There is nothing in the book itself to emphasize to the teacher that the purpose of these readings on nuclear testing and foreign investment is to illustrate the complex and nonmechanistic way in which international law is made and applied. This will be apparent to those of us close to the policy science school, but I am skeptical that others will understand exactly what these diverse materials on such technical matters are doing at this stage of the course. If this book is to be used by those outside of the authors' immediate circle, some clearer signals—to the teacher as much as to the student—would have been helpful. I am very skeptical that students can really understand these matters in the first few weeks of a course on international law. The question for judgment, of course, is whether, in order to emphasize international law as process, such detailed and substantive materials should be offered at this juncture. Or will it cause the wood (how is international law made and applied in real life?) to be lost for the trees (was cordonning off the high seas for nuclear testing unlawful in 1955? Is the Test Ban Treaty "law" for non-signatories? What underlay the Court's judgment in the Nuclear Tests case? What is the act of state doctrine? What is its relationship to sovereign immunity? What are the contemporary standards of compensation for the taking of foreign property? Is the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States merely aspirational?)? The matter is purely pedagogical: the selection of materials is fine, and entirely relevant to the underlying theme of constitutive process. But my own contemporary instincts as a teacher, and my recollections of first exposure to the policy science teach-
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...ing methods, lead me to believe that the problem I have outlined above is the real one.

The rest of this Part contains much that is very useful. The section on “More Traditional Perspectives about Prescription and Application” (p. 76) contains such problematic cases as the Nuremberg Judgment and the Lotus and Nottebohm cases, and allows a competent teacher full rein in drawing his or her students’ attention to creativity in judicial decision-making. In this and the ensuing sections, the questions asked are buttressed by well-chosen readings, in which joint and separate writings by the authors are particularly helpful. The entire Part is very well done, and I found Section 5 (p. 99) especially impressive. This contains (under the broad heading “Global Constitutive Process of Authoritative Decision”) materials organized in a way not available in other teaching books. A student asked the examination question “Is Article 38 of the Statute a comprehensive statement of the sources of international law?” will be able to reply by reference to the expansion of the authority of the United Nations vis-à-vis non-members, to the expansion of the inclusive legislative power (the Uniting for Peace resolution, the Expenses case, the South West Africa—Voting Procedures case), and to the expansion of executive and judicial competence (Namibia case). The readings assist with such intractable issues as predictability and change, and cultural diversity.

What is striking, as one leaves Part One, is that scarcely anything has been said about treaties in the context of making and applying international law. To be sure, there is later in Chapter X a fine treatment of the international law of treaties in the context of Part Four, which is entitled “The Strategies by which States Shape and Share Power and Other Values.” But treaties are also important sources of international law in their own right. All the emphasis in Part One, apart from one or two passing references to, for example, the Test Ban Treaty, is on the making and application of international law by custom and judicial decision. The impression left is of the irrelevance of treaties in this context. My own preference would have been for some selection of materials to illustrate the richness and relevance of treaties as a separate source and their interplay with other sources, a particularly fertile area.

Part Two of this book is on “Territorial Communities as Participants in World Public Order.” Instead of simply using, in the manner of so many traditional books, the heading “States” and a recitation of the terms of the Montevideo Convention of 1922 for the attribution of statehood, McDougal and Reisman look at states as the major, but by no means the only, actors on the international stage. They remind us of the importance and influence of other actors, such as multinational corporations, international organizations, and individuals.

The materials in this Part are well selected. At the outset, the student
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faces the issue of self-determination in a section which includes materials relating to the establishment of a Palestinian state. No doubt this is to encourage early consideration of the policy issues underlying claims to play the role of state. The mix of materials—extracts of writings by the authors and others, the relevant parts of the U.N. Charter and regional arrangements, U.N. resolutions and International Court decisions—is especially felicitous. The Rhodesia case is considered briefly, as a case study in the withholding of the right of statehood. This discussion could usefully have been supplemented by materials on U.N. policy toward the South African bantustans.

Of particular interest is the section on hemispheric interests, with the Brezhnev Doctrine and United States legal policy in the Americas receiving special attention. European readers may find that the authors’ comments do not sufficiently accommodate those claims to self-determination which they have favored in the preceding pages. The authors say:

One of the realities of power is that weaker participants may often find it convenient if not necessary to survival to accommodate stronger neighbors. Major states have frequently sought to institutionalize, as between themselves, the preeminent influence position they enjoy in their own neighborhoods, through reciprocal recognition of spheres of influence. . . .

While Article 2 of the U.N. Charter may be viewed as a prohibition of spheres of influence, the general flow of decision would seem to indicate that such claims continue to be made and deferred to as between the larger states.

(P. 187.) This, of course, is a fair description of the realities of international affairs, but the authors do not appraise whether these mutually-tolerated claims between the larger states are acceptable as a matter of general international law. This passage in no way reflects the unease felt by large parts of the international community. It does not assist in appraising the lawfulness of either United States actions in Central America or Soviet actions in Poland. Most European (and, one suspects, Latin American) students have difficulty in seeing real differences between these hegemonic interventions. The materials do not assist in drawing distinctions nor in assessing whether the major states’ attitudes reflect naked power or a more generally shared sense of normative behavior.

The next two chapters concern topics that follow naturally from consideration of the establishment of territorial units. Chapter III deals with "Establishment and Regulation of Access to Arenas," and is full of interesting materials. Diplomatic communication and relations are dealt with here. The authors consider the protections of inviolability and diplomatic immunity, along with the ever-pertinent problem of diplomatic
asylum. For many readers this will be an unfamiliar location for this topic, but it makes intellectual sense and works well.

Chapter IV continues the theme of the establishment and regulation of access by considering the important question of recognition. The materials in this chapter provide an excellent survey of the question in all its facets, including some that in traditional texts would not be dealt with at all under this heading. The authors usefully could have spelled out why questions of "hot oil" and the *Sabbatino* case and the Hickenlooper amendment belong here at all. These relate to the denial of local effect to acts of governments—perhaps generally recognized, perhaps not—when these acts violate international law. The acts of an unrecognized foreign government will routinely be considered to lack local effect (English and United States law differ somewhat on this), and even a recognized government may still find that some of its acts may not be given effect in domestic fora. Section 4, on recognition and admission to organized arenas, especially the United Nations, contains not only materials on the *Admissions* cases, but also extracts from Reisman's analysis of the Puerto Rico situation (p. 426), which remains as relevant today as when it was written in 1975.

Part Three of McDougal and Reisman's book deals with "The Bases of Power of States," and includes matters that customarily appear under the heading of "Territory" in traditional textbooks. The previous Part had addressed problems of participation in the international process. What the authors now show is that the participants draw on resources and people as power bases. The resources include marine and land territory and airspace. People, too, are considered a resource, and thus questions of nationality are included in this Part. This is of course a far cry from the way in which more conventional texts simply offer to the student random headings which (for reasons never quite explained) he or she is required to learn: "states," "rivers," "nationality," and so forth. Unfamiliar though this coupling of resources and people as power bases may be, it makes sense and is intellectually viable. The critical issue underlying the selection of materials is the illumination of the following question: "What claims do decisionmakers in nation-states in fact make against other participants (including of course other nation-states) for control over resources and people, and what are the prescriptions and practices by which other participants reject, honor, and stabilize such claims?" (p. 433).

This Part begins by considering the problem of exclusive appropriation of resources, and draws on materials concerning the *Deepsea Ventures* claim and the Law of the Sea. The authors make the important point
that the optimum community use of resources does not necessarily lead
one to the view that "international" competence over any given resource
is preferable to "national" competence:

[I]f one takes into account such factors as the nature of different resources,
the conditions necessary for their optimum use (including their conserva-
tion) and the prevailing socio-economic context, the inadequacy of the
traditional dichotomy becomes apparent. Some resources will actually be
used in ways that benefit the entire community if they are under the control
of a single state, while other resources will yield optimum benefits to the
community, if they are "owned" by no state, but are available for use by all.

(P. 434; emphasis in original.)

This dichotomy of course underlies the present refusal of the United
States to become a party to the new Law of the Sea Convention. The
Reagan administration takes the view that it is in the global interest that
the resources of the deep sea bed should be "available for use by all" in
an unregulated way, so that those with greatest current technological
know-how can expeditiously exploit the resources, providing security for
themselves and, if necessary, some income derived therefrom to be allo-
cated to the developing nations. Many other nations have a radically
different perception of where the common interest lies.

It is not easy from these materials to ascertain where the authors stand
on this issue. Although the book went to print before the issue became
acute under the present administration, it had been looming on the hori-
zon for some time. The student (or teacher) is left very much to his or
her own devices in trying to appraise, in relation to the contending argu-
ments, where the common interest really lies. The authors are un-
characteristically reticent in suggesting where an appraisal of the relevant
data and factors is likely to lead. Those critics of the policy science
school who in the past have claimed that the analysis contains too much
personal preference will no doubt feel reassured by this reticence. But
those, like myself, who have valued the clear articulation of policy prefer-
ence, will regret it.

The section on claims to exclusive expropriation of resources seems
somewhat slight, taking up a mere twelve pages. It would have benefited
from the inclusion of some clear statements of alternative views.
Although the full records of UNCLOS negotiations are not available,
such statements can readily be found in secondary source materials.
Competing analyses by scholars would have been a further useful addi-
tion. The extracts of relevant sections of the Law of the Sea Draft (as it
then was) are also very limited. It is hard for the student to get any
overall sense of exactly what is proposed in the international regime,
other than in broadest outline. A more detailed study of the precise regime proposed for the deep sea bed would have been of value.

The rest of the “Resources” materials are admirable, and the user of the book now has a quite splendid survey of resources, coherently structured. Section 2, on resources not subject to exclusive appropriation, examines both transit and military uses of the oceans. International rivers receive examination in the context of navigational uses (scholarly writings, the Faber case) and non-navigational uses (scholarly writings, the Lake Lanoux case). The authors provide a particularly good guide (both in terms of identifying the issues and in offering further reading) to the upcoming problems concerning mineral and living resources in Antarctica. Very satisfactory treatment is also provided on outer space, internal waters, continental shelf (with a very full reproduction of the North Sea Continental Shelf Case judgment), and the exclusive economic zone (though on the latter, reference to the now abundant literature would be welcome).

Particularly strong is the section entitled “Claims Relating to the Modalities of Establishing Exclusive Appropriation” (Section 4)—or “Title,” as it would be termed in the traditional texts. This section gathers and harnesses the ample case law and the writings of jurists, including those of the authors, into a splendidly coherent and articulate whole. Students working their way through pages 610-77 will at the end have a very good understanding of the legal concepts at play in securing title to territory. In later editions the competing claims made by the United Kingdom and Argenţina to the Falkland Islands—which so graphically illuminate notions of discovery, terra nullius, cession, prescriptive acquisition, protest, estoppel, and self-determination—could perhaps be added.

The materials on “People,” dealt with as a base of power, are particularly interesting, and are perhaps less self-selecting than those on territory, space, and other resources. This is particularly so when one is accustomed, as is this writer, to teaching “people” as “participants” rather than as “bases of power.” This necessarily leads to a different emphasis in the choice of materials, though the same ground has to be covered. Thus in the treatment of “people as participants” great weight is given to human rights generally (in the context of the ability of individuals to obtain direct access to judicial or quasi-judicial arenas and to mitigate the rigors of the nationality of claims rule) and not just to human rights as they relate to nationality and statelessness. McDougal and Reisman’s selection of materials implicitly makes a powerful case for dealing with “people” as a resource. It allows the natural inclusion of a
wider spread of relevant materials. Questions of national link as a condition for exercising protection (with Nottebohm, Flegenheimer, and Barcelona Traction as inescapable choices), and claims regarding exhaustion of local remedies (Finnish Shipowners, Interhandel) will be found in either alternative treatment. Some materials on modalities of protection of nationals are included by the authors, and under this broad heading they deal both with methods specific to United States law and, somewhat disconcertingly (if one is not yet adjusted to this frame of reference), with the difficult question of humanitarian intervention. I teach this as part of the law of the use of force, but find faultless the logic of including it here. I have some difficulty, however, in understanding why materials on the Entebbe incident are followed by the heading “Arbitration and Adjudication,” and a reproduction of the Norwegian Loans case, with an immediate return to humanitarian intervention and the examples of the Franco-Belgian intervention in Katanga in 1978. It would have been of great interest to have materials on the attempted United States rescue of the hostages held in Teheran, but presumably this occurred too near the date of going to press.

The chapter proceeds to an excellent section on various facets of nationality. This section naturally draws heavily on United States cases and legislation. The issues are clearly identified, and the authors offer their own clear analysis of the various ways in which claims arise out of multiple nationality (pages 901-02). Extracts of McDougal’s, Lasswell’s, and Chen’s prior writing on nationality provide assistance to the student on the question of statelessness.

The materials on access to territory (immigration, expulsion) are excellent. It is here that the authors turn to the question of state responsibility. Many readers will of course be accustomed to finding state responsibility as a separate major textbook heading. But as the authors indicate, the doctrine really deals with claims to exercise control over people within state territory, and the protections that international law provides. The materials on state responsibility nonetheless seem slight, and surprisingly the Corfu Channel case, with its important competing views on imputability, is not included. Of course, a good teacher will be able to build a great deal upon the International Law Commission’s Draft Articles on State Responsibility, which are included, but appear without analysis or commentary.

The authors follow a traditional approach in dealing with property rights as part of state responsibility. Some might argue that it is more appropriately dealt with under the topic of the state’s resources. Each preference carries its own value overtones, and plausible arguments can
be made in support of either decision. But for a topic of such major contemporary importance, this section is surprisingly sparse. Sections 187-190 of the Restatement (Second) of Foreign Relations Law of the United States (at pp. 951-52 of the book) are hardly enough. There is much pertinent case law, diplomatic practice, treaty practice, and scholarly comment that could be included here. Part of the trouble arises from the fact that some of the relevant materials have been used in Part One on "The Making and Applying of International Law," in the section on the protection of foreign investment. These materials—Resolution 1803, the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties—are an essential component of any contextual study of the issues. But in this earlier section the materials shade off rapidly into problems of act of state (Sabbatino) and immunity (Dunhill); and even when both sections are read together it cannot be said that the deeply important question of foreign property protection/natural resource control by the state is dealt with in a satisfying manner. I would hope that these materials could be recast in subsequent editions.

Part IV is entitled "The Strategies by which States Shape and Share Power and Other Values." It thus covers matters that those familiar with more traditional texts will be unused to having grouped together. The military instrument is an area in which both of the authors have written widely, and it is not surprising that it is very well done. Section 1 is on "Aggression and Self-Defense," and provides pertinent articles of the Charter, treaty provisions, and U.N. resolutions, as well as a fine selection of competing scholarly writings on such questions as the definition of aggression and anticipatory self-defense. Subsequent sections concern the questions of preparation for war (with use of Nuremberg Tribunal materials as well as the Nuclear Tests case) and the prohibition of certain weapons. The latter is especially full, with excellent readings provided and questions put to the reader. Nor is the question of disarmament and arms control forgotten. Particularly fascinating, and somewhat novel, is the full section on covert operations. War crimes, too, receive thorough coverage, with the Calley case of 1975 added to the grim litany. A very strong section is concluded by materials on belligerent occupation (which include some writing on the question of the West Bank and on the contemporary status of conquest). Brief reference is made to, and further citations provided for reading in relation to, the phenomenon of non-state violence.

The second major strategy is legal regulation of the economic instrument. Here special attention is paid to deprivatory techniques such as tariffs, boycotts, and expropriation. To deal with the Charter of Eco-
Economic Rights and Duties of States under the heading of "Deprivation" is value-laden, but one party's economic gain is all too often another party's deprivation. That regrettable fact is equally true of boycotts, and it is the task of international law to facilitate solutions of common benefit even while it tolerates some strategies of unilateral benefit. In these pages the student will find fascinating materials on Rhodesian sanctions—U.N. resolutions, U.K. legislation, U.S. regulations, and case law. There is a very full and instructive coverage of the Arab boycott against Israel, the Western sanctions against Iran in relation to the holding of United States hostages, and the Cuban embargo. They offer the student an absorbing area of study.

To the European reader it is fascinating to see included the section entitled "Regulation of Economic Indulgence" (p. 1094)—the world of the bribe, the payoff, the kickback. Extracts from Reisman's stimulating and provocative book Folded Lies (1979) are reproduced here, along with other writings, resolutions of the U.N., and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (15 U.S.C. § 78dd-2).

The ideological instrument is not forgotten. The chapter on this strategy is brief, but it is full of pertinent and unusual material, and very stimulating. This is the best treatment of the subject in any teaching book.

This part of the book concludes with the massive Chapter X, entitled "The Legal Regulation of International Agreement-Making." This excellent treatment is some hundred and fifty pages long, and is a veritable teaching book in itself on the law of treaties. It concerns all the elements of the law of treaties, including: the competence of the participants (including United States constitutional aspects), the content of treaties, the legal effect of treaties, reservations, interpretation, performance, and termination. In each of these there are skillfully interwoven the relevant parts of the Vienna Convention, state practices of various sorts, and writings of jurists (including the authors themselves), and judicial and arbitral decisions; an abundance of reference to other writings in learned journals is also provided. The organization, selection, and skillful use of these materials is a tour de force and any student working through them will have a very good understanding indeed of the law of treaties.

The penultimate part of this book deals with "Jurisdiction." I find its location, as nearly the last topic covered, baffling. It is so central to our understanding of what states can do, to whom and in what circumstances, that I firmly believe it must come much earlier in any course. I myself teach it immediately after covering "Participants," and find it important to have treated these materials before coming to resources, as, all
said and done, there is a jurisdictional aspect to resource claims. I think it is extraordinarily hard for students to deal with the prescriptions of the 1958 and 1982 Law of the Sea Conventions on, for example, collisions, transit, or exploitation of resources, without a solid understanding of the alternative bases of jurisdiction authorized under international law.

However, that aside, Part Five itself is of the greatest interest, because it reflects the authors’ important original thinking on the topic of jurisdiction. It begins with a clear statement that it is necessary to distinguish the competence to prescribe law from the competence to apply law to particular persons, events, and things. The distinction between competence to prescribe and competence to apply is both more subtle and realistic than the more traditional distinction (insofar as writers on jurisdiction make any such analysis at all, which is frequently not the case) between legislative jurisdiction and enforcement jurisdiction. The application of law (in contradistinction to the prescription of laws) may involve, as the authors point out, the application of a law other than the law of the forum: here we have, in a readily understandable way, the interface with choice of law questions. Whereas other writers will often seek to explain other phenomena by reference to “exceptions” to normal rules of jurisdiction, McDougal and Reisman speak of secondary assertions of competence to prescribe and apply. The secondary assertion of competence to prescribe is usually advanced by a private party, and less often by a state, and is a claim:

that the policies applied should be those prescribed by a state other than that making the application. This secondary assertion of competence, which embraces the whole field of choice of law in private international law, arises because states commonly exercise a competence to apply [legal policies] over events for which it is inappropriate to apply locally prescribed policies.

(P. 1273.) By the secondary assertion of competence to apply, the authors have in mind what some others have spoken of as “indirect jurisdiction”—for example, the recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments, but also of acts of state more generally.

The introductory pages to this part, together with the selection from the authors’ own relevant writings, make an admirable introduction to this difficult topic. The chapter contains the Lotus case as a general lead-in, and then moves on to specific materials on the principle of territoriality. So-called “impact territoriality” is dealt with under the protective principle, and contains most of the leading cases, a very detailed further reading list in the area (especially, of course, in antitrust matters), as well as the United Kingdom Protection of Trading Interests Act of 1980. About this last the authors maintain a tactful silence, though they pro-
vide guidance and comments in a more general sense on the difficulties of the protective principle. A third section concerns the principle of nationality. In an unusual grouping, jurisdiction based on the nationality of corporations is treated in a separate section, with *Barcelona Traction* holding pride of place. The *Eichmann* case is used to discuss the personality principle. All these relate to the competence to prescribe law.

An outstandingly original and effective chapter on the initial competence to apply law deals with such relevant matters as consent, domicile, and location of property, as well as less immediately obvious topics, such as hot pursuit. In the case of hot pursuit a state is nonetheless applying its own prescriptions in relation to an offense committed within its jurisdiction. In the case of universality, the matter is more clearly one of application of commonly held principles (which may find expression in national legislation). It is here that the authors have chosen to place the *Filartiga* case. But it is a sad fact that torture is not listed as an international crime in the present International Law Commission draft on State Responsibility. The concept of universal jurisdiction does not yet fully coincide with the concept of an international crime.

The doctrine of "state immunity" is, of course, really all about immunity from the application of laws, and it is properly dealt with in that way. It is extraordinary to think that some law schools and texts teach state immunity as if it were a topic entirely distinct from jurisdiction. The materials on state immunity are, understandably, largely American, and the recent European case law and United Kingdom legislation are not included.

The final pages of this Part are on secondary assertions of competence. Thus disregarding formalistic distinctions between private and public international law, the authors make reference to choice of law issues. Again, in a categorization that will be unfamiliar to some, this is the location for materials on extradition, because extradition involves a claim that governments having jurisdiction over a person surrender him for trial in and punishment by the laws of another country. The authors now move to the secondary competence to apply law. The act of state doctrine is appropriately considered in this framework: in what circumstances should one state honor the decisions of another? The reader will here find the great cases of *Sabbatino, Dunhill,* and *First National City Bank v. Banco Nacional,* as well as discussion of the Hickenlooper Amendment. There is also a full coverage of enforcement of foreign judgments, with reference to the important treaty law now in existence on the topic as well as to leading cases in various jurisdictions.

Of all the Parts in this remarkable book, that on jurisdiction most
stands out as reflecting the originality of the authors’ conceptual think-
ing. That originality is expressed, on a pedagogical level, in the decisions
made on the organization and classification of this teaching book’s
materials. It whets our appetite for a full-blown study of this difficult but
crucial area.

Skeptics will perhaps approach the book with two main anxieties, both
of which prove groundless. The first is that the book will be written in
policy science jargon and incomprehensible to the uninitiated. Even if
the special language employed elsewhere by McDougal and his col-
leagues presents an insuperable barrier for “outsiders” who make a modi-
cum of intellectual effort (a point I have never been able to accept), there
is nothing to fear on that score in this volume. Its vocabulary is straight-
forward and it is immensely and readily readable. The second anxiety
might be that the authors will at all times be promoting their own policy
preferences. This, too, is not the case—indeed, as I have indicated above,
McDougal and Reisman are at times so reticent that one could wish to
see more clearly where they themselves come out on certain issues. But
they have chosen to let those who teach from this book use the materials
and discussions to reach their own conclusions.

*International Law in Contemporary Perspective* could usefully be subti-
tled *Or How to Stop Worrying and Learn to Love the Policy Science Ap-
proach.* It is a fine achievement and deserves wide use by those who care
about the teaching of international law.